













# **RAID LEVELS:**

- Application performance, data availability requirements, and cost determine the RAID level selection.
- These RAID levels are defined on the basis of striping, mirroring, and parity techniques.
- Some RAID levels use a single technique, whereas others use a combination of techniques.
- Table below shows the commonly used RAID levels.



### **RAID 0**

- RAID 0 configuration uses data striping techniques, where data is striped across all the disks within a RAID set. Therefore it utilizes the full storage capacity of a RAID set.
- To read data, all the strips are put back together by the controller.
- Figure below shows RAID 0 in an array in which data is striped across five disks.
- When the number of drives in the RAID set increases, performance improves because more data can be read or written simultaneously.
- RAID 0 is a good option for applications that need high I/O throughput. However, if these applications require high availability during drive failures, RAID 0 does not provide data
- protection and availability.



- RAID 1 is based on the mirroring technique.
- In this RAID configuration, data is mirrored to provide fault tolerance as shown in the figure below.
- A RAID 1 set consists of two disk drives and every write is written to both disks.
- During disk failure, the impact on data recovery in RAID 1 is the least among all RAID implementations. This is because the RAID controller uses the mirror drive for data recovery.
- RAID 1 is suitable for applications that require high availability and cost is no constraint.
- The figure shows the difference between RAID 0 and RAID 1



## **Nested RAID**

- RAID 1+0 and RAID 0+1 combine the performance benefits of RAID 0 with the redundancy benefits of RAID 1.
- They use striping and mirroring techniques and combine their benefits.
- A common misconception is that RAID 1+0 and RAID 0+1 are the same. Under normal conditions, RAID levels 1+0 and 0+1 offer identical benefits. However, rebuild operations in the case of disk failure differ between the two.
- These types of RAID require an even number of disks, the minimum being four as shown in the figure below.





H.

┑

 $\mathbf{I}$ 



#### **RAID 4**

- Similar to RAID 3, RAID 4 stripes data for high performance and uses parity for improved fault tolerance.
- Data is striped across all disks except the parity disk in the array.
- Parity information is stored on a dedicated disk so that the data can be rebuilt if a drive fails.
- Unlike RAID 3, data disks in RAID 4 can be accessed independently so that specific data elements can be read or written on a single disk without reading or writing an entire stripe. RAID 4 provides good read throughput and reasonable write throughput.

# **RAID 5**

- RAID 5 is a versatile RAID implementation.
- It is similar to RAID 4 because it uses striping.
- The drives (strips) are also independently accessible.
- The difference between RAID 4 and RAID 5 is the parity location.
- In RAID 4, parity is written to a dedicated drive, creating a write bottleneck for the parity disk.
- In RAID 5, parity is distributed across all disks to overcome the write bottleneck of a dedicated parity disk.
- RAID 5 is good for random, read-intensive I/O applications and preferred for messaging, data mining, medium-performance media serving, and relational database management system (RDBMS) implementations, in which database administrators (DBAs) optimize data access.
- Figure illustrates the RAID 5 implementation.



# **RAID 6**

- RAID 6 works the same way as RAID 5, except that RAID 6 includes a second parity element to enable survival if two disk failures occur in a RAID set as shown in the figure below.
- Therefore, a RAID 6 implementation requires at least four disks.
- RAID 6 distributes the parity across all the disks.





- Front-end controllers route data to and from cache via the internal data bus. When the cache receives the write data, the controller sends an acknowledgment message back to the host.
- **4.1.2 CACHE**
- Cache is semiconductor memory where data is placed temporarily to reduce the time required to service I/O requests from the host.
- Cache improves storage system performance by isolating hosts from the mechanical delays associated with rotating disks or hard disk drives (HDD).
- Rotating disks are the slowest component of an intelligent storage system. Data access on rotating disks usually takes several milliseconds because of seek time and rotational latency.
- Accessing data from cache is fast and typically takes less than a millisecond. On intelligent arrays, write data is first placed in cache and then written to disk.

# **STRUCTURE OF CACHE**

- Cache is organized into **pages**.
- Page is the smallest unit of cache allocation.
- The size of a cache page is configured according to the application I/O size.
- Cache consists of the **data store and tag RAM**.

## **Data Store:**

• The data store holds the data.

# **Tag RAM:**

• The tag RAM tracks the location of the data in the data store (see Figure 4- 2) and in the disk.



- Entries in tag RAM indicate where data is found in cache and where the data belongs on the disk.
- Tag RAM includes a **dirty bit** flag, which indicates whether the data in cache has been committed to the disk. It also contains time-based information, such as the time of last access, which is used to identify cached information that has not been accessed for a long period and may be freed up.

### **BACK END**







As a loop configuration, FC-AL can be implemented without any interconnecting devices by directly connecting one device to another two devices in a ring through cables.

However, FC-AL implementations may also use hubs whereby the arbitrated loop is physically connected in a star topology.

The FC-AL configuration has the following limitations in terms of scalability: • FC-AL shares the loop and only one device can perform I/O operations

at a time. Because each device in a loop must wait for its turn to process an I/O request, the overall performance in FC-AL environments is low.

• FC-AL uses only 8-bits of 24-bit Fibre Channel addressing (the remaining 16-bits are masked) and enables the assignment of 127 valid addresses to the ports. Hence, it can support up to 127 devices on a loop. One address is reserved for optionally connecting the loop to an FC switch port. Therefore, up to 126 nodes can be connected to the loop.

• Adding or removing a device results in loop re-initialization, which can cause a momentary pause in loop traffic.

### **3. Fibre Channel Switched Fabric**

Unlike a loop configuration, a Fibre Channel switched fabric (FC-SW) network provides dedicated data path and scalability. The addition or removal of a device in a switched fabric is minimally disruptive; it does not affect the ongoing traffic between other devices.

FC-SW is also referred to as fabric connect. A fabric is a logical space in which all nodes communicate with one another in a network. This virtual space can be created with a switch or a network of switches. Each switch in a fabric contains a unique domain identifier, which is part of the fabric's addressing scheme. In FC-SW, nodes do not share a loop; instead, data is transferred through a dedicated path between the nodes. Each port in a fabric has a unique 24-bit Fibre Channel address for communication. Figure 5-8 shows an example of the FC-SW fabric. In a switched fabric, the link between any two switches is called an Interswitch link (ISL). ISLs enable switches to be connected together to form a single, larger fabric. ISLs are used to transfer host-to-storage data and fabric management traffic from one switch to another. By using ISLs, a switched fabric can be expanded to connect a large number of nodes.





