

5. a) Define tautology with an example. b) State and prove distributive laws using truth table. [15]
 c) Prove the logical equivalence $[(-p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q \wedge r)] \Leftrightarrow p \wedge q$ using the laws of logic.

(OR)

- 6.. a) Define biconditional with an example. b) State and prove DeMorgan's laws using truth table. c) Prove the logical equivalence $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge [\neg q \wedge (r \vee \neg q)] \Leftrightarrow \neg(q \vee p)$ using the laws of logic. [15]

7. Define normal distribution. The weekly wages of workers in a company are normally distributed with mean of Rs. 700 and standard deviation of Rs.50. Find the probability that the weekly wage of a randomly chosen worker is i) between Rs.650 and 750 ii) more than Rs.750 given $A(1)=0.3413$. [13]

(OR)

8. Write the formula for mean and variance of normal distribution. The mean weight of 500 students of a certain school is 50 Kgs and the standard deviation is Rs.6 Kgs. Assuming that the weights are normally distributed, find the expected number of students, weighing i) between 40 and 50 Kgs ii) more than 60 Kgs, given that $A(1.6667)=0.45$ [13]

CO3	L3
CO3	L3
CO1	L3
CO1	L3

- 5.a) Define tautology with an example. b) State and prove distributive laws using truth table. [15]
 c) Prove the logical equivalence $[(-p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q \wedge r)] \Leftrightarrow p \wedge q$ using the laws of logic.

(OR)

- 6.a) Define biconditional with an example. b) State and prove DeMorgan's laws using truth table. [15]
 c) Prove the logical equivalence $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge [\neg q \wedge (r \vee \neg q)] \Leftrightarrow \neg(q \vee p)$ using the laws of logic.

7. Define normal distribution. The weekly wages of workers in a company are normally distributed with mean of Rs. 700 and standard deviation of Rs.50. Find the probability that the weekly wage of a randomly chosen worker is i) between Rs.650 and 750 ii) more than Rs.750 given $A(1)=0.3413$. [13]

(OR)

8. Write the formula for mean and variance of normal distribution. The mean weight of 500 students of a certain school is 50 Kgs and the standard deviation is Rs.6 Kgs. Assuming that the weights are normally distributed, find the expected number of students, weighing i) between 40 and 50 Kgs ii) more than 60 Kgs, given that $A(1.6667)=0.45$ [13]

CO3	L3
CO3	L3
CO1	L3
CO1	L3

1.a) Let α be a real constant > 0 .
 Then the continuous probability
 function for which

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha e^{-\alpha x} & 0 \leq x < \infty \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

is the P.D.F called as the
 exponential distribution. x is the
 exponential variate. It is found that
 $f(x) \geq 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$

$$\text{Mean} = \frac{1}{\alpha} = 5 \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{1}{5}$$

b)

$$f(x) = f\left(\frac{1}{5}, x\right) = \frac{1}{5} e^{-\frac{1}{5}x}, x > 0$$

$$P(x < 10) = \int_0^{10} f\left(\frac{1}{5}, x\right) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} \int_0^{10} e^{-\frac{1}{5}x} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{e^{-x/5}}{-1/5} \right)_0^{10}$$

$$= - \left(e^{-2} - e^0 \right) = 1 - e^{-2}$$

$$= 0.8647$$

$$P(x \geq 10) = 1 - P(x < 10) \\ = 1 - 0.8647 = 0.1353 \quad \text{+} \quad (12)$$

2. a) $\mu = \frac{1}{\alpha} \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \quad 2$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} = 3 \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{3}$$

b) $f\left(\frac{1}{3}, x\right) = \frac{1}{3} e^{-x/3} \quad x > 0 \quad 2$

$$P(x < 3) = \int_0^3 \frac{1}{3} e^{-x/3} dx \\ = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{e^{-x/3}}{-1/3} \right)_0^3 \\ = - (e^{-1} - e^0) = 1 - e^{-1} \\ = 0.6321$$

$$P(3 < x < 5) = \frac{1}{3} \int_3^5 e^{-x/3} dx \quad \text{+}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{e^{-x/3}}{-1/3} \right)_3^5$$

$$= - (e^{-5/3} - e^{-1}) = 0.179 \quad \text{+}$$

(12)

3a) Identity Laws

For any proposition p ,

a) $p \vee F_0 \equiv p$ b) $p \wedge T_0 \equiv p$

p	F_0	T_0	$p \vee F_0$	$p \wedge T_0$
0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	1	1

2

Inverse Laws For any proposition p ,

a) $p \vee \sim p \equiv T_0$ b) $p \wedge \sim p \equiv F_0$

p	$\sim p$	$p \vee \sim p$	$p \wedge \sim p$
0	1	1	0
1	0	1	0

2

Domination Laws

For any proposition p

a) $p \vee T_0 \equiv T_0$ b) $p \wedge F_0 \equiv F_0$

p	T_0	F_0	$p \vee T_0$	$p \wedge F_0$
0	1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1	0

2

b)

p	q	r	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow r$	$p \rightarrow r$	$p \rightarrow q \wedge q \rightarrow r$
0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	1	1	0
1	1	0	1	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1

$A \rightarrow B$
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1

(4M)

$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow r$
 is a tautology

(10)

a) $p \rightarrow q \equiv \sim p \vee q$

$\sim(p \rightarrow q) \equiv \sim(\sim p \vee q)$ De Morgan
 $\equiv \sim(\sim p) \wedge \sim q$
 $\equiv p \wedge \sim q$

$\sim(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \sim q$ (Negation of a Conditional) 3

b)

p: I am awake

q: work on the computer

r: read a novel

$p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$

$p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \equiv \sim p \vee q \vee r$

I am not awake or I work on the Computer or read a novel 4

$\sim(p \rightarrow (q \vee r)) \equiv p \wedge \sim(q \vee r)$ De Morgan
 $\equiv p \wedge \sim q \wedge \sim r$

I am awake and I am not working on the Computer and I am not reading a novel 3

5_a) A compound proposition which is true for all possible truth values of its components is called a tautology.

$$\vdash \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (\vdash \vee q) \wedge (\vdash \vee r) \quad 2$$

$$\vdash \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (\vdash \wedge q) \vee (\vdash \wedge r)$$

for any 3 propositions ϕ, q, r

ϕ	q	r	$q \wedge r$	$q \vee r$	$\phi \wedge q$	$\phi \vee q$	$\phi \wedge r$	$\phi \vee r$
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\vdash \vee (q \wedge r)$			$(\vdash \vee q) \wedge (\vdash \vee r)$		$\vdash \wedge (q \vee r)$		$(\vdash \wedge q) \vee (\vdash \wedge r)$	
0			0		0		0	
0			0		0		0	
0			0		0		0	
1			1		0		0	
1			1		0		0	
1			1		1		1	
1			1		1		1	
1			1		1		1	

7

$$[(\sim p \vee \sim q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q \wedge r)] \equiv p \wedge q$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\sim p \vee \sim q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q \wedge r) &\equiv \sim(\sim p \vee \sim q) \vee (p \wedge q \wedge r) \\
 &\equiv \sim(\sim p) \wedge \sim(\sim q) \vee (p \wedge q \wedge r) && \text{De Morgan} \\
 &\equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge q \wedge r) && \text{Double negation} \\
 &\equiv p \wedge q && \text{Absorption}
 \end{aligned}$$

6 (15)

6. a) Let p and q be two propositions.
 The conjunction of the conditionals $p \rightarrow q$ and $q \rightarrow p$ is called as the biconditional of p and q , denoted by $p \leftrightarrow q$.

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow p$	$p \leftrightarrow q$
0	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	0
1	1	1	1	1

eg $p = 2$ is a prime no.
 $q = 3$ is a prime no.
 $p \leftrightarrow q$ is true

b) $\sim(p \wedge q) \equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$ ①
 $\sim(p \vee q) \equiv \sim p \wedge \sim q$
 for any 2 propositions p, q

p	q	$\sim p$	$\sim q$	$p \wedge q$	$\sim(p \wedge q)$	$p \vee q$	$\sim(p \vee q)$
0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0
1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0

$\sim(p \vee q)$	$\sim p \wedge \sim q$	$\sim p \wedge \sim q$
1	1	1
0	1	0
0	1	0
0	0	0

c) $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge [\sim q \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim q)] \equiv \sim(q \vee \sim p)$

Consider

$$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge [\sim q \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim q)]$$

$$\equiv p \rightarrow q \wedge [\sim q \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim q)]$$

Commutative

$$\equiv p \rightarrow q \wedge \sim q$$

absorption

$$\equiv \sim[(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q]$$

$$\equiv \sim[\sim(p \rightarrow q) \vee q]$$



$$\equiv \sim [(\sim p \wedge \sim q) \vee q]$$

$$\equiv \sim [p \vee \sim (p \wedge \sim q)] \text{ Commutative}$$

$$\equiv \sim [(\sim q \vee p) \wedge (q \vee \sim q)] \text{ distribution}$$

$$\equiv \sim [q \vee p \wedge T_0] \text{ tautology}$$

$$\equiv \sim (q \vee p) \text{ identity law } (15)^6$$

7. Let μ and σ be two real constants such that $-\infty < \mu < \infty$ and $\sigma > 0$.

Then the continuous probability distribution for which $N(\mu, \sigma, x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$

the P.D.F is called a Normal Distribution 2

x is the normal variate
 $\mu = 700$ $\sigma = 50$ If x is the weekly wage,
SNV $Z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} = \frac{x - 700}{50}$

at $x = 750$ $Z = 1$ at $x = 650$ $Z = -1$

$$P(650 < x < 750)$$

$$= P(-1 < z < +1) = 2P(0 < z < 1)$$

$$= 2A(1) = 2(0.3413)$$

$$= 0.6826$$

5

$$P(x > 750) = P(z > 1) = P(z \geq 0) - P(0 < z < 1)$$

$$= 0.5 - 0.3413 = 0.1587$$

5

12

8. Mean of Normal Distribution
and variance

equals the mean and variance of
the given distribution.

2

$$z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} = \frac{x - 50}{6}$$

$$\text{at } x = 50 \quad z = 0$$

$$\text{at } x = 40 \quad z = -1.6667$$

$$P(40 < x < 50) = P(0 < z < 1.6667) = P(-1.6667 < z < 0)$$

$$= P(0 < z < 1.6667)$$

$$= A(1.6667) = 0.4525$$

For 500 students, estimated no. who
weigh between 40 & 50 is 0.4525×500
 $= 226$

5

For $x = 60$ $z = 10/6 = 1.6667$

$P(x > 60) = P(z > 1.6667)$
 $= P(z \geq 0) - P(0 \leq z \leq 1.6667)$
 $= 0.5 - A(1.6667)$
 $= 0.5 - 0.4525 = 0.0475$

Out of 500 students, estimated no.
 $0.0475 \times 500 = 24$ ⁵

(12)

