	ITUTE OF INOLOGY		USN											CEREBON A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	MR
				Inter	rnal A	Assesi	ment	Test	- I						
Sub:	Software Testin	g and Pra	ctices									Code	<b>:</b>	L3MCA4	44
Date:	28.03.2017	Duratio	n: 90 n	nins	M	lax M	arks	: 50	5	Sem:	IV	Bran	ch:	MCA	
			Ar	iswer	Any	FIVE	FUL	L Que	stio	ns					
													Marks	0	BE
4						`								CO	RBT
1(a)	Explain the relation	onship of	Human,	Erro	rs and	d Tes	ting	with 6	exam	iple.			[5]	CO1	L4
	Error is a part of actions and in the almost everywhere surgery, in sport insignificant like in an airbus. To and products gent esting is to determine if a contact of actions is design satisfactorily. Test desired.	he produce it and sin a slip of determine if the the requestion of the concept or led to check the reduced t	cts that nobser nilarly is tongue of wheth we resorne thous iremen method eck if a	mighty vation soft or miger the to the	it res n, in ftware ght le ere ar he pro action esting been that r	ult fr spee e devead to re any ocess as and of th under result	com to ch, in comment in character in charac	their ment tastroors in esting ducts hts is action action	actical dical control our cour cour cour cour cour cour cour	ons. E present elike thouse prinas des ally ctoril	Errors script or mi a bur aght, a nary sired, desig y. Tes en ac	s occur ion, in ght be est tyre actions goal of that is ned to ting of			
(b)	List out quality at	tributes o	of softwa	are an	ıd exp	olain	each	of the	em				[5]	CO2	L1
	Qua	lity Attribut	es												
				$\neg$											
	Static Structured			Dynam	nic •Reliak	hility									
	Structured					•									
	Maintainable					ctness									
	Testable					pleten 									
	l ·					istency	/								
					■ Usab	•									
				┌	■ Perf	orman	ce								
	Static quality at the availability of Dynamic qualic consistency, usa Reliability is a state of the state of	of correct  ty attribut bility, and statistical vis indistive assure of could be ll be estal prove the program rectness input don le to accor roofs of p ess attemp if there a demonstr refers to t r in the us	and contest soft approxed appr	nplete tware mance imation ble fred lihoo use o via recare is able, in most Thus tablis errors a pro ability	e docue reliate on to com con	correctorrec	tatio, cor ectne ectnesset fun n exect spectass ex t nev t testi are ess is ex prog	n. rectn ss, in s. Rou ction cution cificat pecte er the ng a p encou establ ram i plete ree. listee	the sighly for sight and a sig	sense speasome a period the hougective ram of the of te	that king, "unit iod of ne h e of te on all pract ee, tes sting	" of time. sting. ice,			

	Software Product	Hardware Product			
	Does not degrade over time	Degrades over time			
	Fault present in application will remain and no new fault will creep in unless application is changed.	VLST chip might fail over time due to a fault that did not exist at the time chip was manufactured and tested.			
	Built-in self test meant for hardware product, rarely can be applied to software design and code.	BIST intend to actually test for the correct functioning of a circuit			
	It only detects faults that were present when the last change was made	Hardware testers generate test based on fault models e.g Stuck-at fault model – one can use a set of input test patterns to test whether a logic gate is functioning as expected			
(b) D	iscuss the defect life-cycle and draw an	n appropriate diagram	[5]	CO4	L2
W	Reopened  Vefect life cycle, also known as Bug Life thich a defect goes through during its larganization and also from project to presting process and also depends upon the state of the s				
	efect Life Cycle States:	ne tools used.			
	<ul> <li>resolved.</li> <li>Active - The Defect is being add is under progress. At this stage is Deferred or Rejected.</li> <li>Test - The Defect is fixed and reterm of the Verified - The Defect that is reterm of the defect of the defect is defected.</li> </ul>	levelopment team to address it but not garders. It but not garders are two possible outcomes; viz -	On QA.		
	<ul> <li>Reopened - When the defect is</li> </ul>			1	

	<ul> <li>defect.</li> <li>Deferred - When a defect cannot be addressed in that particular cycle it is deferred to future release.</li> <li>Rejected - A defect can be rejected for any of the 3 reasons; viz - duplicate defect, NOT a Defect, Non Reproducible.</li> </ul>			
4(a)	Discuss how levels of testing are associated with levels of software development.	[5]	CO1	L2
	Draw a supporting diagram.			
	Requirements			
	specification testing			
	Preliminary Integration			
	design			
	Detailed Unit			
	design testing			
	Coding			
	Levels of testing echo the levels of abstraction found in the waterfall model of the			
	software development life cycle. Although this model has its drawbacks, it is useful			
	for testing as a means of identifying distinct levels of testing and for clarifying the objectives that pertain to each level. A diagrammatic variation of the waterfall			
	model, known as the V-Model in ISTQB parlance, is given in Figure 1.8; this			
	variation emphasizes the correspondence between testing and design levels.			
	Notice that, especially in terms of specification-based testing, the three levels of			
	definition (specification, preliminary design, and detailed design) correspond			
	directly to three levels of testing—system, integration, and unit testing. A practical			
	relationship exists between levels of testing versus specification-based and code based testing. Most practitioners agree that code-based testing is most appropriate			
	at the unit level, whereas specification-based testing is most appropriate at the			
	system level. This is generally true; however, it is also a likely consequence of the			
	base information produced during the requirements			
	specification, preliminary design, and detailed design phases. The constructs			
	defined for code-based testing make the most sense at the unit level, and similar			
	constructs are only now becoming available for the integration and system levels			
	of testing. We develop such structures in Chapters 11 through 17 to support code- based testing at the integration and system levels for both traditional and object-			
	oriented software.			
(b)	List down the best practices for writing good test case.	[5]	CO2	L1
	Best Practice for writing good Test Case Example.			
	1. Test Cases need to be simple and transparent:			
	Create test cases that are as simple as possible. They must be clear and concise as			
	the author of test case may not execute them. Use assertive language like go to home page, enter data, click on this and so on.			
	This makes the understanding the test steps easy and test execution faster.			
	2. Create Test Case with End User in Mind			
	Ultimate goal of any software project is to create test cases that meets customer			
	requirements and is easy to use and operate. A tester must create test cases			
	keeping in mind the end user perspective			
	<b>3. Avoid test case repetition.</b> Do not repeat test cases. If a test case is needed for executing some other test case,			
	call the test case by its test case id in the pre-condition column			
	4. Do not Assume			
	Do not assume functionality and features of your software application while			
	preparing test case. Stick to the Specification Documents.			
	5. Ensure 100% Coverage			
	Make sure you write test cases to check all software requirements mentioned in			
	the specification document. Use Traceability Matrix to ensure no			

functions/conditions is left untested.  6. Test Cases must be identifiable.  Name the test case id such that they are identified easily while tracking defects or identifying a software requirement at a later stage.  7. Implement Testing Techniques  It's not possible to check every possible condition in your software application.  Testing techniques help you select a few test cases with the maximum possibility of finding a defect.  Boundary Value Analysis (BVA): As the name suggests it's the technique that defines the testing of boundaries for specified range of values.  Equivalence Partition (EP): This technique partitions the range into equal parts/groups that tend to have the same behavior.  State Transition Technique: This method is used when software behavior changes from one state to another following particular action.  Error Guessing Technique: This is guessing/anticipating the error that may arise while testing. This is not a formal method and takes advantages of a tester's experience with the application			
8. Self cleaning The test case you create must return the test environment to the pre-test state and should not render the test environment unusable. This is especially true for configuration testing.  9. Repeatable and self-standing The test case should generate the same results every time no matter who tests it 10. Peer Review.  After creating test cases, get them reviewed by your colleagues. Your peers can uncover defects in your test case design, which you may easily miss.			
5(a) Discuss the six basic principles underlying the analysis and testing techniques.  • General engineering principles:  - Partition: divide and conquer  - Visibility: making information accessible  - Feedback: tuning the development process  • Specific A&T principles:  - Sensitivity: better to fail every time than sometimes  - Redundancy: making intentions explicit  - Restriction: making the problem easier	[6]	CO2	L2
(b) Explain the difference between error, fault, failure and incident.  Error—People make errors. A good synonym is mistake. When people make mistakes while coding, we call these mistakes bugs. Errors tend to propagate; a requirements error may be magnified during design and amplified still more during coding.  Fault—A fault is the result of an error. It is more precise to say that a fault is the representation of an error, where representation is the mode of expression, such as narrative text, Unified Modeling Language diagrams, hierarchy charts, and source code. Defect is a good synonym for fault, as is bug. Faults can be elusive. An error of omission results in a fault in which something is missing that should be present in the representation. This suggests a useful refinement; we might speak of faults of commission and faults of omission. A fault of commission occurs when we enter something into a representation that is incorrect. Faults of omission occur when we fail to enter correct information. Of these two types, faults of omission are more difficult to detect and resolve.  Failure—A failure occurs when the code corresponding to a fault executes. Two subtleties arise here: one is that failures only occur in an executable representation, which is usually taken to be source code, or more precisely, loaded object code; the second subtlety is that this definition relates failures only to faults of commission. How can we deal with failures that correspond to faults of omission? We can push this still further: what about faults that never happen to execute, or perhaps do not execute for a long time? Reviews prevent many failures by finding faults; in fact, well-done reviews can find faults of omission.  Incident—When a failure occurs, it may or may not be readily apparent to the user (or customer or tester). An incident is the symptom associated with a failure that alerts the user to the occurrence of a failure.	[4]	C01	L4

6(a) Discuss defect severity and defect priority with suitable examples. [6]	CO4	L2
Severity can be of following types:		
• <b>Critical:</b> The defect that results in the termination of the complete system		
or one or more component of the system and causes extensive corruption		
of the data. The failed function is unusable and there is no acceptable		
alternative method to achieve the required results then the severity will		
be stated as critical.		
Major: The defect that results in the termination of the complete system		
or one or more component of the system and causes extensive corruption		
of the data. The failed function is unusable but there exists an acceptable		
alternative method to achieve the required results then the severity will		
be stated as major.  • Moderate: The defect that does not result in the termination, but causes		
Moderate: The defect that does not result in the termination, but causes the system to produce incorrect, incomplete or inconsistent results then		
the severity will be stated as moderate.		
Minor: The defect that does not result in the termination and does not		
damage the usability of the system and the desired results can be easily		
obtained by working around the defects then the severity is stated as		
minor.		
Cosmetic: The defect that is related to the enhancement of the system		
where the changes are related to the look and field of the application then		
the severity is stated as cosmetic.		
Priority can be of following types:		
• Low: The defect is an irritant which should be repaired, but repair can be		
deferred until after more serious defect have been fixed.		
Medium: The defect should be resolved in the normal course of  development activities. It can write until a new build an version is greated.		
development activities. It can wait until a new build or version is created.  • <b>High:</b> The defect must be resolved as soon as possible because the defect		
is affecting the application or the product severely. The system cannot be		
used until the repair has been done.		
about arrain and repair rate seem derive.		
Example:		
High Priority & High Severity: An error which occurs on the basic		
functionality of the application and will not allow the user to use the		
system. (Eg. A site maintaining the student details, on saving record if it,		
doesn't allow to save the record then this is high priority and high severity		
bug.)		
High Priority & Low Severity: The spelling mistakes that happens on the		
cover page or heading or title of an application.		
High Severity & Low Priority: An error which occurs on the functionality of the application (for which there is no workaround) and will not allow		
the user to use the system but on click of link which is rarely used by the		
end user.		
Low Priority and Low Severity: Any cosmetic or spelling issues which is		
within a paragraph or in the report (Not on cover page, heading, title).		
(b) Compare specification based testing and code based testing. [4]	CO1	L4
The Differences Between Black Box Testing (or specification based testing)		
and White Box Testing (or code based testing) are listed below.		
Criteria Black Box Testing White Box Testing		
Black Box Testing is a software White Box Testing is a		
Black Box Testing is a software testing method in which the internal structure / design / which the internal structure /		
Black Box Testing is a software White Box Testing is a		
Black Box Testing is a software testing method in which the internal structure/ design/  White Box Testing is a software testing method in which the internal structure/		
Black Box Testing is a software testing method in which the internal structure/ design/ implementation of the item being which the internal structure/ design/ implementation of		
Black Box Testing is a software testing method in which the internal structure/ design/implementation of the item being tested is NOT known to the  Black Box Testing is a software testing method in which the internal structure/ design/ implementation of the item being tested is		

		Testing , System Testing	Integration Testing			
	Responsibility	Generally, independent Software Testers	Generally, Software Developers			
	Programming Knowledge	Not Required	Required			
	Implementatio n Knowledge	Not Required	Required			
	Basis for Test Cases	Requirement Specifications	Detail Design			
7(a)	Explain the Next	t Date problem and write the pseud	lo code.	[6]	CO4	L4
	date of the day the input date. to these condit	The month, date, and year variable tions e ending in 2012 is arbitrary, and is $\leq 12$ 1 $\leq 2012$	es have integer values subject			
	Dim tomorrow Dim day,montl Output ("Enter Input (month, Case month Of Case 1: month If day < 31 Then tomorrow Else tomorrowDay tomorrowMon EndIf Case 2: month If day < 30 Then tomorrow Else tomorrowDay tomorrowDay tomorrowMon EndIf Case 3: month If day < 31 Then tomorrow Else tomorrowDay tomorrowMon EndIf Case 3: month If day < 31 Then tomorrow Else tomorrowDay	vDay,tomorrowMonth,tomorrowYeh,year As Integer r today's date in the form MM DD Y day, year) Is 1,3,5,7,8, Or 10: '31 day months wDay = day + 1 = 1 Ith = month + 1 Is 4,6,9, Or 11 '30 day months wDay = day + 1 = 1 Ith = month + 1 Is 12: 'December wDay = day + 1 = 1 = 1	YYY")			
	Else If day = 28 Then If ((year Then tomorrow Else 'not a leap tomorrowDay tomorrowMon	wDay = 29 'leap year o year = 1				

	EndIf			
	Else If day = 29			
	Then If ((year is a leap year)			
	Then tomorrowDay = 1			
	tomorrowMonth = 3			
	Else 'not a leap year			
	Output("Cannot have Feb.", day)			
	EndIf			
	EndCase			
	Output ("Tomorrow's date is", tomorrowMonth, tomorrowDay, tomorrowYear)			
	End NextDate			
(h)	List down the different fault types and give two examples of each.	[4]	C06	L1
(0)	bist down the different fault types and give two examples of each.	[1]	000	пт
	Our definitions of error and fault hinge on the distinction between process and			
	product: process refers to how we do something, and product is the end result of a			
	process. The point at which testing and Software Quality Assurance (SQA) meet is			
	that SQA typically tries to improve the product by improving the process. In that			
	sense, testing is clearly more product oriented. SQA is more concerned with			
	reducing errors endemic in the development process, whereas testing is more			
	concerned with discovering faults in a product. Both disciplines benefit from a			
	clearer definition of types of faults. Faults can be classified in several ways: the			
	development phase in which the corresponding error occurred, the consequences			
	of corresponding failures, difficulty to resolve, risk			
	of no resolution, and so on. My favorite is based on anomaly (fault) occurrence: one			
	time only, intermittent, recurring, or repeatable. For a comprehensive treatment of			
	types of faults, see the IEEE Standard Classification for Software Anomalies (IEEE,			
	1993). (A software anomaly is defined in that document as			
	"a departure from the expected," which is pretty close to our definition.) The IEEE			
	standard defines a detailed anomaly resolution process built around four phases			
	(another life cycle): recognition, investigation, action, and disposition.			
	Fault Types:			
	runt Types.			
	Input/Output Faults			
	Correct input not accepted			
	Incorrect input accepted			
	Output Wrong format Wrong result			
	Cosmetic			
	Logic Faults			
	Missing case(s)			
	Duplicate case(s)			
	Extreme condition neglected			
	Wrong operator (e.g., < instead of ≤)			
	1.3 Computation Faults			
	Incorrect algorithm			
	Missing computation			
	Incorrect operand			
	Incorrect operation			
	Interface Faults			
	Incorrect interrupt handling			
	I/O timing			
	Call to wrong procedure			
	Call to nonexistent procedure			
	Parameter mismatch (type, number)			
	Incompatible types			
	Superfluous inclusion			
	Data Faults			
	Incorrect initialization			
	Incorrect storage/access			
	Wrong flag/index value			
	Incorrect packing/unpacking			
	Wrong variable used			