

Sub:


```
* program readersandwriters */
int readcount;
semaphore x = 1, wsem = 1;
void reader()ł
    while (true) {
     semWait (x);readcount++;if (readcount == 1) semWait (wsem);
     semSignal(x);READUNIT();
     semWait (x);readcount--;if (readcount == 0) semSignal (wsem);
     semSignal(x);\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}void writer()
€
   while (true) {
     semWait (wsem);
     WRITEUNIT();
     semSignal (wsem);
    \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}void main()€
    readcount = 0;parbegin (reader, writer);
```
Writers Have Priority

When a writer wishes to access the object, only readers which have already obtained permission to access the object are allowed to complete their access; any readers that request access after the

writer has done so must wait until the writer is done. Note this may result in readers waiting indefinitely to access the object

The following semaphores and variables are added:

- A semaphore *rsem* that inhibits all readers while there is at least one writer desiring access to the data area
- A variable *writecount* that controls the setting of *rsem*
- A semaphore *y* that controls the updating of *writecount*
- A semaphore *z* that prevents a long queue of readers to build up on *rsem*

```
program readersandwriters*/
      int readcount, writecount;
      semaphore x = 1, y = 1, z = 1, wsem = 1, rsem = 1;
      void reader()while (true) {
            semWait (z);semWait (rsem);
                        semWait (x);readcount++;if (readcount == 1) semWait (wsem);
                        semSignal(x);semSignal (rsem);
            semSignal (z);READUNIT();
            semWait (x);readcount--;if (readcount == 0) semSignal (wsem);
            semSignal(x);}
      €
      void writer ()
      ₹
         while (true) {
           semWait(y);writecount++;
               if (writecount == 1) semWait (rsem);
           semSignal(y);semWait (wsem);
           WRITEUNIT();
           semSignal (wsem);
           semWait (y);writecount--;
               if (writecount == 0) semSignal (rsem);
           semSignal(y);\mathcal{E}void main()readcount = writecount = 0;
         parbegin (reader, writer);
                                                                                          3
5 
    Define is response time and turnaround time?
(a)
    Response Time: The time duration from the submission of a request till the first
    response received is known as response time.
     Turnaround time: The interval from the time of submission of a process to the time of completion is 
    the turnaround time. Turnaround time is the sum of the periods spent waiting to get into memory, waiting 
    in the ready queue, executing on the CPU, and doing I/O.
                                                                                          7Consider the following set of processes with given length of CPU burst:
(b)
       Processes P1 P2 P3 P4 P5
     Burst Time 6 2 8 3 4
     Arrival Time \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c} 2 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 4 \end{array}
```
Draw Gantt chart for SJF (preemptive) and SFJ (non-preemptive. Find the average waiting time, average turn around time, throughput for each scheduling algorithm. **SJF (Non - Preemptive)** P4 P1 P2 P5 P3 $0 \t 3 \t 9 \t 11 \t 15 \t 23$ $AWT = [(0-0)+(3-2)+(9-5)+(11-4)+(15-1)]/5$ $= (0+1+4+7+14)/5$ $=26/5 = 5.2$ ms Average Turnaround Time = [(9-2)+(11-5)+(23-1)+(3-0)+(15-4)]/5 $=$ $(7+6+22+3+11)/5$ $= 49/5=9.8$ ms Through put : $5/23 = 0.21$ ms **SJF (Preemptive)** P4 P1 P5 P2 P5 P1 P1 P3 $0 \t3 \t4 \t5 \t7 \t10 \t15$ 23 Waiting Time for $P1 = 3-2+6=7$ Waiting Time for $P2 = 5-5 = 0$ Waiting Time for $P3 = 15-1=14$ Waiting Time for $P4 = 0-0=0$ Waiting Time for $P5 = 4-4+2=2$ $AWT = (7+0+14+0+2)/5 = 23/5 = 4.6$ ms Average Turnaround Time : $(15-2) + (7-5) + (23-1) + (3-0) + (10-4)$ / 5 $=$ (13+2+22+3+6) /5 $= 46/2 = 9.32$ ms Through put : $5/23 = 0.21$ ms Define is waiting time, throughput? **Throughput:** The number of processes completed per time unit is called as throughput. **Waiting Time:** The CPU-scheduling algorithm does not affect the amount of time during which a process executes or does I/O; it affects only the amount of time that a process spends waiting in the ready queue. Waiting time is the sum of the periods spent waiting in the ready queue. 3 (b) Consider the following set of processes with given length of CPU burst: Processes \vert P1 \vert P2 \vert P3 \vert P4 \vert P5 Burst Time 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 5 Priority 3 1 3 4 2 All processes arrived at time 0 in the given order. Draw Gantt chart using SJF (non-preemptive), Priority (Non-preemptive) [Smallest number implies highest priority], and Round Robin [Quantum-2 ms] scheduling policies. Find the average waiting time for each scheduling policy. **SJF (Non preemptive)** P2 | P4 | P3 | P5 | P1 7

6 (a)

Philosophers share a common circular table surrounded by five chairs, each belonging to one philosopher.

In center of the table is a bowl of rice (or spaghetti), and the table is laid with five single chopsticks.

 \Box From time to time, philosopher gets hungry and tries to pick up the two chopsticks that are closest to her (the chopsticks that are between her and her left and right neighbors).

A philosopher may pick up only one chopstick at a time.

 \Box She cannot pick up a chopstick that is already in hand of a neighbor.

 \Box When a hungry philosopher has both her chopsticks at the same time, she eats without releasing her chopsticks.

 \Box When she finishes eating, she puts down both of her chopsticks and start thinking again. The problem is to ensure that no philosopher will be allowed to starve because he cannot ever pick up both forks.

The dinning philosopher problem is considered a classic problem because it is an example of a large class of concurrency-control problems.

□ Shared data

 \Box semaphore chopstick[5];

 \Box Initially all values are 1

 \Box A philosopher tries to grab the chopstick by executing wait operation and releases the chopstick by executing signal operation on the appropriate semaphores.

```
diningphilosophers */
/* program
semaphore fork [5] = \{1\};
int i;void philosopher (int i)
     while (true) {
          think()wait (fork[i]);
          wait (fork \lceil (i+1) mod 5]);
          eat()signal(fork [(i+1) mod 5]);signal(fork[i]);\mathbf{r}Þ
void main()₹
     parbegin (philosopher (0), philosopher (1), philosopher
(2),
          philosopher (3), philosopher (4));
     J
```
Figure 6.12 A First Solution to the Dining Philosophers Problem

This solution guarantees that no two neighbors are eating simultaneously but it has a possibility of creating a deadlock and starvation.

 \Box Allow at most four philosophers to be sitting simultaneously at the table.

Allow a philosopher to pick up her chopsticks if both chopsticks are available.

 \Box An odd philosopher picks up her left chopstick first and an even philosopher picks up her right chopstick first.

 \Box Finally no philosopher should starve.

```
/* program diningphilosophers */
semaphore fork[5] = {1};<br>semaphore room = {4};
int i;
void philosopher (int i)
\mathcal{L}while (true) {
       think();
       wait (room);<br>wait (fork[i]);
       wait (fork [(i+1) \mod 5]);
       ext()signal (fork [(i+1) mod 5]);<br>signal (fork[i]);<br>signal (room);
     \mathbf{R}}
void main()parbegin (philosopher (0), philosopher (1), philosopher (2), philosopher (3), philosopher (4));
```
Figure 6.13 A Second Solution to the Dining Philosophers Problem