

Internal Assessment Test 2 – November 2020

Solutions:

11)a) a) A && \sim B c) A XOR B

b) A V [B && C]
d) [A&&B] V [C&&D]

11b)

Approaches to avoiding overfitting in decision tree learning

- **Pre-pruning (avoidance):** Stop growing the tree earlier, before it reaches the point where it perfectly classifies the training data
- **Post-pruning (recovery):** Allow the tree to overfit the data, and then post-prune the tree

1.1. Reduced-error pruning :

- *Pruning* a decision node consists of removing the subtree rooted at that node, making it a leaf node, and assigning it the most common classification of the training examples affiliated with that node
- Nodes are removed only if the resulting pruned tree performs no worse than-the original over the validation set.
- Reduced error pruning has the effect that any leaf node added due to coincidental regularities in the training set is likely to be pruned because these same coincidences are unlikely to occur in the validation set

1.2. Rule Post-Pruning :

Rule post-pruning is successful method for finding high accuracy hypotheses **Rule post-pruning involves the following steps:**

- 1. Infer the decision tree from the training set, growing the tree until the training data is fit as well as possible and allowing overfitting to occur.
- 2. Convert the learned tree into an equivalent set of rules by creating one rule for each path from the root node to a leaf node.
- 3. Prune (generalize) each rule by removing any preconditions that result in improving its estimated accuracy.
- 4. Sort the pruned rules by their estimated accuracy, and consider them in this sequence when classifying subsequent instances.

For example, consider the decision tree above. The leftmost path of the tree in below figure is translated into the rule.

IF (Outlook = Sunny) ^ (Humidity = High) THEN *PlayTennis* = No

Given the above rule, rule post-pruning would consider removing the preconditions (Outlook $=$ Sunny) and (Humidity $=$ High)

It would select whichever of these pruning steps produced the greatest improvement in estimated rule accuracy

12)

Entropy $(S) = 1$ bcz all the samples are equal Gain(S, A1) = Entropy(S) – $\{(5/10)*Entropy (Strue) + (5/10)*Entropy (Stalse)\}$ Entropy(Strue) = $-(1/5)log2(1/5) - (4/5)log2(4/5) = 0.72$ Entropy(Sfalse) = $-(4/5)log2(4/5)-(1/5)log2(1/5) = 0.72$

 $Gain(S, A1) = 1 - \{(5/10)^*0.72 + (5/10)^*0.72\} = 1.129$

 $Gain(S, A2) = Entropy(S) - \{(5/10)*Entropy(Short) + (5/10)*Entropy(SCool)\}$ Entropy(Shot)= $-(2/5)log(2/5) - (3/5)log(3/5) = 0.966$ Entropy(Scool) = $-(3/5)log(3/5)-(2/5)log(2/5) = 0.966$

Gain(S,A2) = 1- { $(5/10)*0.966 + (5/10)*0.966$ } = 1.233

Gain(S,A3) = Entropy(S) –{ $(6/10)$ ^{*}Entropy(Shigh) +(4/10^{*}Entropy(Snormal)} Entropy(Shigh) = $-(1/6)log(1/6) - (5/6)log(5/6) = 0.647$ Entropy(Snormal) = 0 bca all are belonging to same class $Gain(S, A3) = 1 - ((6/10)*0.647 + (4/10)*0] = 0.6118$

 $Gain(S, A1) = 1.129$ $Gain(S, A2) = 1.233$

 $Gain(S, A3) = 0.6118$, so A2 will be chosen as root node

13)

$\text{BACKPROPAGATION (training example, \eta, n_{in}, n_{out}, n_{hidden})}$

Each training example is a pair of the form (\vec{x}, \vec{t}) , where (\vec{x}) is the vector of network input values, (\vec{t}) and is the vector of target network output values.

 η is the learning rate (e.g., .05). n_b is the number of network inputs, n_{hidden} the number of units in the hidden layer, and nout the number of output units.

The input from unit i into unit j is denoted x_{jk} and the weight from unit i to unit j is denoted W_{ji}

- Create a feed-forward network with n_i inputs, n_{hidden} hidden units, and n_{out} output units.
- Initialize all network weights to small random numbers
- Until the termination condition is met, Do
	- For each (\vec{x}, \vec{t}) , in training examples, Do

Propagate the input forward through the network:

1. Input the instance \overrightarrow{x} , to the network and compute the output o_u of every unit u in the network.

Propagate the errors backward through the network:

2. For each network output unit k, calculate its error term ∂_k .

$$
\delta_k \leftarrow o_k(1-o_k)(t_k-o_k)
$$

3. For each hidden unit h, calculate its error term δ_h

$$
\delta_h \leftarrow o_h(1 - o_h) \sum_{k \in outputs} w_{h,k} \delta_k
$$

4. Update each network weight wji

$$
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ji}} \longleftarrow \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ji}} + \Delta~\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ji}}
$$

Where

$$
\Delta\operatorname{w}_{\mathrm{ji}}=\eta\delta_jx_{i,j}
$$

Derivation of the BACKPROPAGATION Rule

Deriving the stochastic gradient descent rule: Stochastic gradient descent involves iterating through the training examples one at a time, for each training example d descending the gradient of the error E_d with respect to this single example

$$
\Delta w_{ji} = -\eta \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial w_{ji}} \qquad \qquad \text{.........} \text{equ. (1)}
$$

where, E_d is the error on training example d, summed over all output units in the network

$$
E_d(\vec{w}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \text{ is output}} (t_k - o_k)^2
$$

For each training example d every weight w_{ii} is updated by adding to it Δw_{ji}

Here outputs is the set of output units in the network, t_k is the target value of unit k for training example d , and o_k is the output of unit k given training example d .

The derivation of the stochastic gradient descent rule is conceptually straightforward, but requires keeping track of a number of subscripts and variables

- x_{ji} = the ith input to unit j
- \bullet \mathbf{w}_{ji} = the weight associated with the ith input to unit j
- net_i = $\Sigma_i w_{ij} x_{ij}$ (the weighted sum of inputs for unit j)
- o_i = the output computed by unit j
- t_i = the target output for unit j
- \bullet σ = the sigmoid function
- \bullet outputs = the set of units in the final layer of the network
- Downstream(j) = the set of units whose immediate inputs include the output of unit j

derive an expression for $\frac{\partial E_d}{\partial w_{li}}$ in order to implement the stochastic gradient descent rule

seen in Equation
$$
\Delta w_{ji} = -\eta \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial w_{ji}}
$$

notice that weight w_{ji} can influence the rest of the network only through net_i.

Use chain rule to write

$$
\frac{\partial E_d}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial net_j} \frac{\partial net_j}{\partial w_{ji}}
$$

=
$$
\frac{\partial E_d}{\partial net_j} x_{ji}
$$
equ(2)

$$
\frac{\partial E_i}{\partial t}
$$

Derive a convenient expression for $\frac{\partial E_d}{\partial net_j}$

Consider two cases: The case where unit j is an output unit for the network, and the case where *j* is an internal unit (hidden unit).

Case 1: Training Rule for Output Unit Weights.

 w_{ji} can influence the rest of the network only through net_j, net_j can influence the network only through o_j. Therefore, we can invoke the chain rule again to write

$$
\frac{\partial E_d}{\partial net_j} = \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial o_j} \frac{\partial o_j}{\partial net_j}
$$
equ(3)

To begin, consider just the first term in Equation (3)

 \mathcal{G}

$$
\frac{\partial E_d}{\partial o_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial o_j} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in outputs} (t_k - o_k)^2
$$

The derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial o_j}(t_k - o_k)^2$ will be zero for all output units k except when $k = j$.
We therefore drop the summation over output units and simply set $k = j$.

$$
\frac{\partial E_d}{\partial o_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial o_j} \frac{1}{2} (t_j - o_j)^2
$$

= $\frac{1}{2} 2 (t_j - o_j) \frac{\partial (t_j - o_j)}{\partial o_j}$
= $-(t_j - o_j)$ equ(4)

Next consider the second term in Equation (3). Since $o_j = \sigma(net_j)$, the derivative $\frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial net_j}$ is just the derivative of the sigmoid function, which we have already noted is equal to $\sigma(net_j)(1 - \sigma(net_j))$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{\partial o_j}{\partial net_j} = \frac{\partial \sigma(net_j)}{\partial net_j}
$$

= $o_j(1 - o_j)$ equ(5)

Substituting expressions (4) and (5) into (3) , we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial E_d}{\partial net_j} = -(t_j - o_j) o_j (1 - o_j) \qquad \qquad \dots \dots \text{equ}(6)
$$

and combining this with Equations (1) and (2), we have the stochastic gradient descent rule for output units

$$
\Delta w_{ji} = -\eta \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial w_{ji}} = \eta (t_j - o_j) o_j(1 - o_j) x_{ji}
$$
equ(7)

14a) PERCEPTRON

One type of ANN system is based on a unit called a perceptron. Perceptron is a single layer neural network.

- \Box A perceptron takes a vector of real-valued inputs, calculates a linear combination of these inputs, then outputs a 1 if the result is greater than some threshold and -1 otherwise.
- Given inputs x through x, the output $O(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ computed by the perceptron is \Box

$$
o(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w_0 + w_1 x_1 + \cdots + w_n x_n > 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Where, each w_i is a real-valued constant, or weight, that determines the contribution of input xⁱ to the perceptron output.

 v_0 is a threshold that the weighted combination of inputs $w_1x_1 + ... + w_nx_n$ must surpass in order for the perceptron to output a 1.

Sometimes, the perceptron function is written as,

$$
O(\vec{x}) = sgn(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x})
$$

where,
\n
$$
sgn(y) = \begin{cases}\n1 & \text{if } y > 0 \\
-1 & \text{otherwise.} \n\end{cases}
$$

Learning a perceptron involves choosing values for the weights w_0, \ldots, w_n . Therefore, the space H of candidate hypotheses considered in perceptron learning is the set of all possible real-valued weight vectors

$$
H = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} \in \Re^{(n+1)}\}
$$

Representational Power of Perceptrons

- \Box The perceptron can be viewed as representing a hyperplane decision surface in the ndimensional space of instances (i.e., points)
- \Box The perceptron outputs a 1 for instances lying on one side of the hyperplane and outputs a -1 for instances lying on the other side, as illustrated in below figure

Figure: The decision surface represented by a two-input perceptron. (a) A set of training examples and the decision surface of a perceptron that classifies them correctly. (b) A set of training examples that is not linearly separable. x_1 and x_2 are the Perceptron inputs. Positive examples are indicated by "+", negative by "-".

The Perceptron Training Rule

The learning problem is to determine a weight vector that causes the perceptron to produce the correct + 1 or - 1 output for each of the given training examples.

To learn an acceptable weight vector

 \Box Begin with random weights, then iteratively apply the perceptron to each training example, modifying the perceptron weights whenever it misclassifies an example.

- \Box This process is repeated, iterating through the training examples as many times as needed until the perceptron classifies all training examples correctly.
- \Box Weights are modified at each step according to the perceptron training rule, which revises the weight w_i associated with input xi according to the rule.

$$
w_i \leftarrow w_i + \Delta w_i
$$

Where,

$$
\Delta w_i = \eta(t - o)x_i
$$

Here,

t is the target output for the current training example $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is the output generated by the perceptron n is a positive constant called the *learning rate*

 \Box The role of the learning rate is to moderate the degree to which weights are changed at each step. It is usually set to some small value (e.g., (0.1)) and is sometimes made to decay as the number of weight-tuning iterations increases

14b) Perceptron A has weight values w0=1, w1=2, w2=1. Perceptron B has weight values w0=0, w1=2, w2=1. True or False? Perceptron A has more general than perceptron B.

Solution:

We will say that h_j is (strictly) more-general than h_k (written $h_j > g h_k$) if and only if

 $(h_j \geq_g h_k) \wedge (h_k \not\geq_g h_j)$. Finally, we will sometimes find the inverse useful and will say that *hj* is *more specific than* h_k when h_k is *more_general-than* h_j *.*

 $B(\langle x1, x2 \rangle) = 1 \square 2x1 + x2 > 0 \square 1+2x1 + x2 > 0 \square A(\langle x1, x2 \rangle) = 1$

True.

Q)15) $Entropy(S) = 1$ Entropy (STrue) = 0 bcz both belongs to –ve class Entropy (No) = $-(3/7) \log(3/7) - (4/7) \log(4/7)$ $= 0.9852$ Hence Info.gain(S, Refund) = Entropy(S) – $[(3/10)*0 + (7/10)*0.9852]$ $=$ 0.8813 - [0 + (7/10)*0.9852] = **0.19166** Info.gain(S, Marital status) $=$ Entropy(S) – $[(4/10)^*$ Entropy (Single) + $(4/10)^*$ Entropy (Married) + $(2/10)^*$ Entropy (Divorced) Entropy (Single) $= 1$ Entropy (Married) = 0 Entropy (Divorced) $= 1$ Info.gain(S, Marital Status) = Entropy(S) – $[(4/10)*(1) + (4/10)*(0) + ((2/10)*1]$ = **0.2813** Info.gain(S, Taxable Income) = Entropy(S) – $[(3/10)^*$ Entropy (<80k) + (7/10) * Entropy (>80k)] Entropy $(<80k$) = 0Entropy (>80k) = - (3/7) \log (3/7) – (4/7) \log (4/7) $= 0.9852$ Info.gain(S, Taxable income) = Entropy(S) – $[(3/10)*(0) + (7/10)*(0.9852)]$ = **0.19166 Among all marital status has highest information gain so it becomes the root node** Marital status=Single: Entropy (Single) =1 Gain (Single=Refund) = E (Single) – $[(1/4)*E(Yes) + (3/4)*E(No)]$ $E(Yes) = 0$ E (No) = $(-2/3)$ log $(2/3)-(1/3)$ log $(1/3)$ $=0.9183$ Gain (Single=Refund) = $1 - [(1/3)*0 + (3/4)*0.9183]$ $= 0.31127$ Gain (Single=Taxable income) = E (Single) – $[(1/4)*E(<80) + (3/4)*E (>80)]$ $=0.31127$ Marital status = Divorced: Entropy (Divorced) = 1 Gain (Divorced=Refund) = E (Divorced) – $[(1/2)*E(Yes) + (1/2)*E(No)]$ $E(Yes) = 0$ $E(No) = 0$ $Gain = 1$ Gain (Divorced=Taxable income) = E (Divorced) – $[0+(2/2)*E (>80k)] = 0$

