| USN | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|



Internal Assessment Test 2 – June 2021

| | 1 | | Int | ernal Ass | sessment Test | 2 – June 20 | | 1 | | | |
|-------|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----------|
| Sub: | Software Testin | g-Scheme | and Answ | vers | | Sub Code: | 18CS62/17 CS62 | Branc | h: ISE | | |
| Date: | 27/06/2021 | Duration | | | ax Marks: 50 | Sem/Sec: | VI A,B&C | | • | OB | |
| Date: | Define equiclass test case Definition: Test Cases Definition: Important where par union is the The idea of element from wisely, this Test Case | ivalence is for con [2 Mar aspect of equivalence entire of equivalence each signature es: | class mmission rks] f equiva efers to eset. alence cla n equiva reduces | testing. n problem lence cla a collect lass testin lence cla the poten | Write weak Write weak sses is that the tion of mutua ng is to identi ss. If the equ itial redundance | ey form a ally disjoin fy test cas ivalence cl | equivalence partition of a nt subsets w es by using lasses are ch | a set, hose one | MARKS [6] | | RBT L2 |
| | We will hav Weak Robu | _ | | | - and Max+ s | hould be c | | | | | |
| | WR1 | 10 | 10 | 10 | \$100 | | | | | | |
| | WR2 | -1 | 40 | 45 | Program termin | nates | | | | | |
| | WR3 | -2 | 40 | 45 | Value of locks | not in the rar | nge 1 70 | | | | |
| | WR4 | 71 | 40 | 45 | Value of locks | not in the rar | nge 1 70 | | | | |
| | WR5 | 35 | -1 | 45 | Value of stocks | not in the ra | inge 1 80 | | | | |
| | WR6 | 35 | 81 | 45 | Value of stocks | not in the ra | inge 1 80 | | | | |
| | WR7 | 35 | 40 | -1 | Value of barrel | s not in the r | ange 1 90 | | | | |
| | WR8 | 35 | 40 | 91 | Value of barrel | s not in the r | ange 1 90 | | | | |
| 1b) | used to rep | ole: Explained a number of the control of the contr | planati mark] nation | on [1 n [2mark the techrice comple | nark] | tional testin | ng that have | | [4] | CO2 | L2 |

| 4) A colu | entries, th mn in the for the ci | e action s e entry po ircumstar | tion. Thus, value, and the a rule retion is a rule recession Table | action ent le. Rules | ries. indicate | which actio | ons, if any, | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---------------------|-----|----|
| Stub | Rule 1 | Rule 2 | Rules 3, 4 | Rule 5 | Rule 6 | Rules 7, 8 |] | | |
| c1 | Т | Т | Т | F | F | F | - | | |
| c2 | Т | Т | F | Т | Т | F | - | | |
| с3 | Т | F | _ | Т | F | _ | | | |
| a1 | Х | Х | | X | | | 1 | | |
| a2 | X | | | | X | | - | | |
| a3 | | X | | X | | | - | | |
| a4 | | | X | | | X | | | |
| | | | | | | | J | | |
| fault based Coupling In Fault b | l Testing effect: pased Testi | It is a mut | 2 marks. cant that cannot ses sufficient folex fault. This | or detection | ng the sim | pler faults are | e sufficient | | |
| fault based Coupling In Fault based for de What is conumbers a Cycloma Program Comput Cyclomai | d Testing effect: pased Testing tecting the yelomatic and draw tentic Comple ation: [2] | ng, test cas more complexit he program plexity[2 aph: [2 Marks] | ant that cannot sees sufficient folex fault. This sy? Write the m graph. Find marks] Marks] | or detections is known to program d the cycle | ng the sime as the control to find the lomatic control dent path | pler faults are upling effect. he largest of omplexity of sexists in the | E three f the same. | CO4 | L3 |

| 3 B | | |
|--|-----|----|
| No. of independent paths : | | |
| v(a) = e - n + 2 | | |
| = 11 - 9 + 2 | | |
| | | |
| No. of procedures (p)= 1 | | |
| 3(a) Write notes on mutation analysis. [4] | CO2 | L2 |
| 4 Basic points 4 marks | | |
| Mutation analysis is the most common form of software fault-based testing. A fault model is used to produce hypothetical faulty programs by creating variants of the program under test. Variants are created by "seeding" faults, that is, by making a small change to the program under test following a pattern in the fault model | | |
| • The patterns for changing program text are called mutation operators , and each variant program is called a mutant. | | |
| We say a mutant is valid, if it is syntactically correct. | | |
| • We say a mutant is useful, if in addition to being valid, its behavior differs | | |
| from the behavior of the original program for no more than a small subset | | |
| of program test cases. | | |
| Mutants must be valid, mutation operators are syntactic patterns defined relative to particular programming languages | | |
| 3(b) Define DD path graph. Draw DD path graph for triangle program problem. [2+4] DD path graph: [2 marks] | CO4 | L3 |
| A DD-path is a sequence of nodes in a program graph such that Case 1: It consists of a single node with indeg = 0. | | |
| Case 2: It consists of a single node with outdeg $= 0$. | | |
| Case 3: It consists of a single node with indeg ≥ 2 or outdeg ≥ 2 . | | |
| Case 4: It consists of a single node with indeg = 1 and outdeg = 1. Case 5: It is a maximal chain of length ≥ 1 . | | |
| DD path graph for triangle program problem [4 marks] | | |

| F" 00 | DD P-41 C | - | | | l |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---|-------|-----|----|
| Figure 8.2 Nodes | DD-Path Case of definition | | | | |
| 4 | First 1 | (A) | | | |
| 5-8 9 | A 5 B 3 | B | | | |
| 10 11 | C 4 D 4 | (C) (D) | | | |
| 12 13 | E 3 F 3 | E | | | |
| 14 15 | H 3 | T. C. | | | |
| 16 17 | J 3 K 4 | | | | |
| 18 | L 4 | G | | | |
| 19 20 | M 3 N 3 | | | | |
| 21 22 | G 4 O 3 | (K) (L) | | | |
| 23 | Last 2 | M | | | |
| | | ↓ (N) | | | |
| | | igoplus | | | |
| | | Last | | | |
| 8.5 DD-path gra | ph for triangle progra | n. | | | |
| | | testing with Triangle problem. | [10] | CO4 | L3 |
| | scription [2 1 | | | | |
| | | ails about flipping nodes [2 marks] | | | |
| _ | isis paths [2 i | | | | |
| | Feasible pat | | | | |
| | ble path Com | putation[2 marks] | | | |
| [2 marks] | | | | | |
| | - | ne selection of a baseline path, which should correspon | nd to | | |
| | | m execution. This can be somewhat arbitrary; | | | |
| | _ | a path with as many decision nodes as possible. Next | | | |
| _ | | and in turn each decision is "flipped"; that is, wh | en a | | |
| | utaegree ≥ 2 is i | eached, a different edge must be taken. | | | |
| [2 marks] | | | | | |
| _ | • | We begin a baseline path corresponding path | | | |
| (F | irst | with scalene Triangle. | | | |
| | ¥ • | Basis Path: Path with highest Decision tables | | | |
| | | Flip at node with outdegree=2 | | | |
| | B | flip at node B | | | |
| _ | \sim | The at house b | | | |
| | | flip at node F | | | |
| Q (| • D | flip at node F | | | |
| | D • | flip at node H | | | |
| | D E | - | | | |
| | E F | flip at node H | | | |
| | D E H | flip at node H | | | |
| | E H | flip at node H | | | |
| | D E H | flip at node H | | | |
| | | flip at node H | | | |
| | E D H | flip at node H | | | |
| | | flip at node H | | | |
| | | flip at node H | | | |
| | | flip at node H | | | |
| | | flip at node H | | | |
| | | flip at node H | | | |

[2 marks]

Table 8.6 Basis Paths in Figure 8.5

| Original | p1: A-B-C-E-F-H-J-K-M-N-O-Last | Scalene |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Flip p1 at B | p2: A-B-D-E-F-H-J-K-M-N-O-Last | Infeasible |
| Flip p1 at F | p3: A-B-C-E-F-G-O-Last | Infeasible |
| Flip p1 at H | p4: A-B-C-E-F-H-I-N-O-Last | Equilateral |
| Flip p1 at J | p5: A–B–C–E–F–H–J–L–M–N–O–Last | Isosceles |

<u>Infeasible paths:</u> [2 marks]

- if you follow paths p2 and p3, you find that they are both infeasible.
- Path p2 is infeasible because passing through node D means the sides are not a triangle; so the outcome of the decision at node F must be node G.
- Similarly, in p3, passing through node C means the sides do form a triangle; so node G cannot be traversed.
- Paths p4 and p5 are both feasible and correspond to equilateral and isosceles triangles, respectively.
- Notice that we do not have a basis path for the NotATriangle case.
- McCabe's procedure successfully identifies basis paths that are topologically independent;
- however, when these contradict semantic dependencies, topologically possible paths are seen to be logically infeasible.
- One solution to this problem is to always require that flipping a decision results in a semantically feasible path. Another is to reason about logical dependencies
 - 1. If node C is traversed, then we must traverse node H.
 - 2. If node D is traversed, then we must traverse node G.

Final Paths: [2 marks]

Taken together, these rules, in conjunction with McCabe's baseline method, will yield
the following feasible basis path set. Notice that logical dependencies reduce the size
of a basis set when basis paths must be feasible.

| p1: A–B–C–E–F–H–J–K–M–N–O–Last | Scalene |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| p6: A-B-D-E-F-G-O-Last | Not a triangle |
| p4: A-B-C-E-F-H-I-N-O-Last | Equilateral |
| p5: A–B–C–E–F–H–J–L–M–N–O–Last | Isosceles |

| 5 | Consider the following C function which encodes the string in following manner. |
|---|--|
| | If the string character is + or - or *, it is replaced with space '', if it is uppercase |
| | character, it is replaced with lowercase. Other alphanumeric characters are simply |
| | copied in destination string. Draw the control flow graph for the program. Find |
| | out the statement coverage and node coverage % from control flow graph for the |
| | following test suite T ₀ ={"test","test**ing", "test+-"} |
| | |

- const char* encode(char *str) {
- 2. int i = 0;
- char *str1=str;
- char en_str[25];
- 5. while (str1[i] != '\0') {
- 6. if(str1[i]=='*'|| str1[i]=='+'||str1[i] =='-')

[5+5]

CO4 L3

```
en_str[i] =' ';
    8. else if(str1[i]>=65 && str1[i]<=90)
    9.
           en_str[i]=str1[i]+32;
    10. else
    11. en_str[i]=str1[i];
    12. i++;
    13. }
    14. en_str[i]='\0';
    15. return (en_str);
    16. }
Control Flow Graph: [5 marks]
Statement coverage Computation [2.5 marks]
Node Coverage Computation [2.5 marks]
                         Control flow graph
                         (Const char * encodo (char * str)
                                       char en strest;
           given Test Suite = 5"test", "test ming", "test + "3.

Test suite does not cover special symbols, upper case letters. " It will not Visit @ node 91.6%.

" stalement coverage = 11/12 = 0.000.
Consider the following program. Find the DU paths for the variables staffDiscount,
                                                                                                  [10]
                                                                                                           CO4
                                                                                                                  L3
totalPrice, finalPrice, discount and price. Verify that whether these DU paths are
definition clear.
```

```
program Example()
var staffDiscount, totalPrice, finalPrice, discount, price
3 staffDiscount = 0.1
4 totalPrice = 0
s input(price)
6 while(price != -1) do
      totalPrice = totalPrice + price
      input(price)
 od
print("Total price: " + totalPrice)
if (totalPrice > 15.00) then
      discount = (staffDiscount * totalPrice) + 0.50
13 else
      discount = staffDiscount * totalPrice
15 fi
print("Discount: " + discount)
17 finalPrice = totalPrice - discount
```

20 DU paths * 0.5 marks = 10 marks

A definition/use path with respect to a variable v (denoted du-path) is a path in PATHS(P) such that, for some $v \in V$, there are define and usage nodes DEF(v, m) and USE(v, v) such that v0 and v1 are the initial and final nodes of the path

DU Path for staffDiscount

 $P1(3, 12) = \langle 3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12 \rangle$ - is definition clear

 $P2(3, 14) = \langle 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 \rangle$ is **NOT** definition clear

DU Path for totalPrice

P3(4,7) = <4.5.6.7 > - definition clear

 $P4(4, 10) = \langle 4,5,6,7,8,9,10 \rangle$ **NOT** definition clear

P5(7, 6) = <7.8,9.6> definition clear

P6(7,7) = <7,8,9,6,7 > NOT definition clear

P8 (7, 10) = <7.8,9.6,10 > definition clear

 $P9(7, 11) = \langle 7, 8, 9, 6, 10, 11 \rangle$ definition clear

 $P10(7, 12) = \langle 7, 8, 9, 6, 10, 11, 12 \rangle$ definition clear

 $P11(7, 14) = \langle 7, 8, 9, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 \rangle$ definition clear

DU Path for finalPrice

P12(17,17) = <17,17> - definition clear

DU Path for discount

P13(12,16)=<12,13,14,15,16> > **NOT** definition clear

P14(12,17)=<12,13,14,15,16,17> **NOT** definition clear

P15(12,16)=<12,13,14,15,16> > **NOT** definition clear

P16(14,16) = <14,15,16> > definition clear

P17(14,17) = <14,15,16,17 >_definition clear

DU Path for price

P18(5,6) = <5,6 > definition clear

P19(5,7) = <5,6,7 > definition clear

P20(8,6) = <8,9,6> definition clear

P20(8,7) = <8,9,6,7> definition clear