## Internal Assessment Test II – Dec. 2021





specifying a cross section extending as a function of distance from the origin along a radial line is sufficient, as Fig. 1 (b) shows. The complete filter transfer function can then be generated by rotating the cross section 360 about the origin. Specification of radially symmetric filters centered on the N x N frequency square is based on the assumption that the origin of the Fourier transform has been centered on the square. For an ideal lowpass filter cross section, the point of transition between  $H(u, v) = 1$ and  $H(u, v) = 0$  is often called the cutoff frequency. In the case of Fig.1 (b), for example, the cutoff frequency is Do. As the cross section is rotated about the origin, the point Do traces a circle giving a locus of cutoff frequencies, all of which are a distance Do from the origin. **Butterworth low pass filter** The transfer function of the Butterworth lowpass (BLPF) of order n and with cutoff frequency locus at a distance Do, from the origin is defined by the relation  $H(u, v) = \frac{1}{1 + [D(u, v)/D_0]^2}$ A perspective plot and cross section of the BLPF function are shown in figure 2.  $\left( a\right)$ (b) **Fig.2 (a) A Butterworth lowpass filter (b) radial cross section for n = 1.** Unlike the ILPF, the BLPF transfer function does not have a sharp discontinuity that establishes a clear cutoff between passed and filtered frequencies. For filters with smooth transfer functions, defining a cutoff frequency locus at points for which H (u, v) is down to a certain fraction of its maximum value is customary. In the case of above Eq. H (u, v) = 0.5 (down 50 percent from its maximum value of 1) when D (u, v) = Do. Another value commonly used is  $1/\sqrt{2}$  of the maximum value of H (u, v). The following simple modification yields the desired value when  $D(u, v) = Do$ :  $H(u, v) = \frac{4}{1 + [\sqrt{2} - 1][D(u, v)/D_0]^2}$ <br>=  $\frac{1}{1 + 0.414[D(u, v)/D_0]^2}$ . It also serves as a common base for comparing the behavior of different types of filters. The sharp cutoff frequencies of an ideal lowpass filter cannot be realized with electronic components, although they can certainly be simulated in a computer.



The form of these filters in two dimensions is given by

$$
H(u,v)=e^{-D^2(u,v)/2\sigma^2}
$$

where,  $D(u, v)$  is the distance from the origin of the Fourier transform.











[04]





Or  $Z(u, v) = F_i(u, v) + F_r(u, v)$ Where  $F_i(u, v)$  and  $F_r(u, v)$  are the Fourier transform of  $ln\{i(x, y)\}$  and  $ln\{r(x, y)\}$ , respectively. We can filter  $Z(u,v)$  using a filter  $H(u,v)$ , such that:  $S(u, v) = H(u, v)Z9u, v) = H(u, v)F_i(u, v) + H(u, v)F_r(u, v)$ The filtered image in the spatial domain is  $s(x, y) = \mathfrak{J}^{-1}$  $\{S(u, v)\}$  =  $\Im^{-1}\{H(u, v)F_i(u, v) + H(u, v)F_r(u, v)\}$ By defining  $i'(x, y) = \Im^{-1}{H(u, v)F_i(u, v)}$ , and  $r'(x, y) = \Im^{-1}\{H(u, v)F_r(u, v)\}\,$ , Therefore  $s(x, y) = i'(x, y) + r'(x, y)$ Finally because  $z(x,y)$  was formed by taking natural logarithm of the input image, we reverse the process by taking the exponential of the filtered result to form the output image:  $g(x, y) = e^{s(x, y)}$  $= e^{i'(x,y)} e^{r'(x,y)}$  $= i_0(x, y) r_0(x, y)$ Where,  $i_0(x, y) = e^{i'(x, y)}$  and  $r_0(x, y) = e^{r'(x, y)}$ Are the illumination and reflectance components of the output (processed) image. The filter  $H(u, v)$  is called the homomorphic filter. The key approach is the separation of the illumination and reflectance components. The illumination component of an image generally is characterized by the slow spatial variation While the reflectance component tends to vary abruptly particularly at the junctions of dissimilar objects. These characteristics lead to associating the low frequencies of the Fourier transform of the logarithmic of an image with illumination and high frequencies with reflectance. Better control can be gained over the illumination and reflectance components with a homomorphic filter. This control requires specification of a filter function  $H(u,v)$  that affects the low- and high-frequency components of the Fourier transform in different, controllable ways  $5$  (a) Define 2D DFT with respect to a 2D DFT of an image, and state the following properties b) Translation, b) Rotation, c) Periodicity, and d) Convolution theorem For an  $M \times N$  2D image  $f(x, y)$ , 2D DFT is defined as follows:  $F(u, v) = \sum \sum f(x, y) e^{-j2\pi \left(\frac{ux}{M}\right)}$  $\frac{ux}{M} + \frac{vy}{N}$  $\frac{y}{N}$  $N-1$  $y=0$  $M-1$  $x=0$ , Where  $u=0,1,2,...,M-1$ , and  $v=0,1,2,...,N-1$ Inverse 2D-DFT :  $N-1$  $M-1$ In  $\implies$  DFT  $\implies$  H(u,  $\begin{CD} H(u, \\ v) \end{CD}$  IDFT  $\begin{CD} \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \end{CD}$  exp  $\begin{CD} g(x, y) \end{CD}$  $f(x, y)$ 

$$
f(x,y) = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{u=0}^{M-1} \sum_{v=0}^{N-1} F(u,v) e^{j2\pi \left(\frac{ux}{M} + \frac{vy}{N}\right)}
$$

[06]

![](_page_8_Picture_448.jpeg)

an image is based on obtaining the partial derivatives &f/&x and &f/&y at every pixel location.Let the 3x3 area shown in Fig. 1.1 (a) represent the gray levels in a neighborhood of an image. One of the simplest ways to implement a first-order partial derivative at point  $z_5$  is to use the

following Roberts cross-gradient operators:

and

$$
-1.5\%
$$

 $G_x = (z_0 - z_5)$ 

 $G_y = (z_8 - z_6).$ 

These derivatives can be implemented for an entire image by using the masks shown in Fig. 1.1(b). Masks of size 2 X 2 are awkward to implement because they do not have a clear center.An approach using masks of size 3 X 3 is given by

$$
G_x = (z_7 + z_8 + z_9) - (z_1 + z_2 + z_3)
$$

and

$$
G_y = (z_3 + z_6 + z_9) - (z_1 + z_4 + z_7).
$$

A weight value of 2 is used to achieve some smoothing by giving more importance to the center point. Figures 1.1(f) and (g), called the Sobel operators, and are used to implement these two equations. The Prewitt and Sobel operators are among the most used in practice for computing digital gradients. The Prewitt masks are simpler to implement than the Sobel masks, but the latter have slightly superior noise-suppression characteristics, an important issue when dealing with derivatives. Note that the coefficients in all the masks shown in Fig. 1.1 sum to 0, indicating that they give a response of 0 in areas of constant gray level, as expected of a derivative operator.

The masks just discussed are used to obtain the gradient components  $G_x$  and  $G_y$ . Computation of the gradient requires that these two components be combined. However, this implementation is not always desirable because of the computational burden required by squares and square roots. An approach used frequently is to approximate the gradient by

![](_page_10_Picture_134.jpeg)

absolute values:

 $\nabla f \approx |G_x| + |G_y|.$ 

This equation is much more attractive computationally, and it still preserves relative changes in gray levels. However, this is not an issue when masks such as the Prewitt and Sobel masks are used to compute Gx and Gy.

It is possible to modify the 3 X 3 masks in Fig. 1.1 so that they have their strongest responses along the diagonal directions. The two additional Prewitt and Sobel masks for detecting discontinuities in the diagonal directions are shown in Fig. 1.2.

![](_page_11_Picture_326.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_200.jpeg)