CMF	R INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY USN							1000 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	8		
Civit								* CHE NOTITUT	CONTRACTOR		
	Internal Assesment Test	III – Dece	mber	- 2023							
Sub:	Power System Analysis - I	Ι				Code:	18EE71				
Date:	3/1/2024 Duration: 90 Min Max M	Section:	A & B								
	Note: Answer any FIVE FULL Questions a	& Sketch N	eat F	igures Wł	herever	Necessary.					
							Mark	0 CO	BE RBT		
1	Form the Zbus using building Algorithm of the system sh $\frac{3 \cdot p_{2}}{j_{0} \cdot 1} = \frac{0}{j_{0} \cdot 1} = \frac{1}{j_{0} \cdot 1} =$	-2=Z12.≍ 	 (3X. Z 32 	22 (b.6) (5) (2222		[10]	CO5	L3		
2	$\frac{Z_{11} = j_{0.1} + \frac{(-j_{0.1} \times j_{0.1})}{z_{12} = 2_{12} \times 2$		mped 1 0.1 1 0.1 1 0.1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$	0.04j 0.24		[10]	CO5	L3		

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$$

4 Image: Ima		Gran C. N.				
4						
4						
4 $I = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$						
4 $I = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$		$\frac{Td^2 \mathcal{D}m}{dt^2} = \mathcal{D}n - \mathcal{T}e \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$				
4 $I = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$					1	
4 $I = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$		dif wyt				
4 $I = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$		Ar em = Wsme Ar & m a				
4 $I = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} $						
4 $I = \frac{1}{10} $						
4 Image: Content of the separate of the second of the						
4 $I(0) CO4 L2$ $I(0) CO4 L2$		Ton = Pount -> @ To = Pount >0				
4 Perfine unit commitment and explain how the dynamic programming is applied to obtain unit commitment. Also Deduce the condition for optimal load dispatch considering losses in a system. Unit Commitment: Unit Commitment is a crucial optimization problem in power system operation that determines the on/off status of generating units over a specific time horizon to meet the electricity demand at minimum cost while satisfying various operational constraints. Dynamic Programming for Unit Commitment: Dynamic programming is a mathematical optimization technique used to solve the unit commitment problem. The problem involves selecting the optimal combination of committed and decommitted generating units to minimize the total cost, considering factors such as fuel costs, start-up costs, and operational constraints. The dynamic programming approach involves breaking down the decision-making process into smaller subproblems and solving them recursively. By considering the optimal solution for each subproblem, the algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem. (10) CO4 L2		$e_{0}^{n}(\varepsilon) \in (s)$ in $e_{0}^{n}(10)$ $\xrightarrow{g_{10}}$			1	
 commitment. Also Deduce the condition for optimal load dispatch considering losses in a system. Unit Commitment: Unit commitment is a crucial optimization problem in power system operation that determines the on/off status of generating units over a specific time horizon to meet the electricity demand at minimum cost while satisfying various operational constraints. Dynamic Programming for Unit Commitment: Dynamic programming is a mathematical optimization technique used to solve the unit commitment problem. The problem involves selecting the optimal combination of committed and decommitted generating units to minimize the total cost, considering factors such as fuel costs, start-up costs, and operational constraints. The dynamic programming approach involves breaking down the decision-making process into smaller subproblems and solving them recursively. By considering the optimal solution for each subproblem, the algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem. [10] CO4 L2 		$\frac{Jd^2 \cdot sm}{dt^2} = \frac{pm}{\omega m} \frac{at}{\omega sm} \qquad \qquad$				
4 Unit Commitment: Unit commitment is a crucial optimization problem in power system operation that determines the on/off status of generating units over a specific time horizon to meet the electricity demand at minimum cost while satisfying various operational constraints. Dynamic Programming for Unit Commitment: Dynamic programming is a mathematical optimization technique used to solve the unit commitment problem. The problem involves selecting the optimal combination of committed and decommitted generating units to minimize the total cost, considering factors such as fuel costs, start-up costs, and operational constraints. The dynamic programming approach involves breaking down the decision-making process into smaller subproblems and solving them recursively. By considering the optimal solution for each subproblem, the algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem. [10] CO4 L2 [10] CO4 L2 [10] CO4 L2		Define unit commitment and explain how the dynamic programming is applied to obtain u	nit			
4 Unit commitment is a crucial optimization problem in power system operation that determines the on/off status of generating units over a specific time horizon to meet the electricity demand at minimum cost while satisfying various operational constraints. Dynamic Programming for Unit Commitment: Dynamic programming is a mathematical optimization technique used to solve the unit commitment problem. The problem involves selecting the optimal combination of committed and decommitted generating units to minimize the total cost, considering factors such as fuel costs, start-up costs, and operational constraints. The dynamic programming approach involves breaking down the decision-making process into smaller subproblems and solving them recursively. By considering the optimal solution for each subproblem, the algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem. $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix} \text{ CO4} L2$		commitment. Also Deduce the condition for optimal load dispatch considering losses in a system.				
status of generating units over a specific time horizon to meet the electricity demand at minimum cost while satisfying various operational constraints. Dynamic Programming for Unit Commitment: Dynamic programming is a mathematical optimization technique used to solve the unit commitment problem. The problem involves selecting the optimal combination of committed and decommitted generating units to minimize the total cost, considering factors such as fuel costs, start-up costs, and operational constraints. The dynamic programming approach involves breaking down the decision-making process into smaller subproblems and solving them recursively. By considering the optimal solution for each subproblem, the algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem. 4 4 10] CO4 L2		Unit Commitment:			1	
$4 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ CO4 L2 \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ CO4 L2 \\ \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ CO4 L2 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $		Unit commitment is a crucial optimization problem in power system operation that determines the on/o	off			
4Dynamic Programming for Unit Commitment: Dynamic programming is a mathematical optimization technique used to solve the unit commitment problem. The problem involves selecting the optimal combination of committed and decommitted generating units to minimize the total cost, considering factors such as fuel costs, start-up costs, and operational constraints. The dynamic programming approach involves breaking down the decision-making process into smaller subproblems and solving them recursively. By considering the optimal solution for each subproblem, the algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem.[10]CO4L24Image: train the first state in the first state is the first state in the first state is the first state in the first state is the first state is the first state is state in the first state is the first state is the state is the state is the state is the first state is the s		status of generating units over a specific time horizon to meet the electricity demand at minimum co	ost			
4Dynamic programming is a mathematical optimization technique used to solve the unit commitment problem. The problem involves selecting the optimal combination of committed and decommitted generating units to minimize the total cost, considering factors such as fuel costs, start-up costs, and operational constraints. The dynamic programming approach involves breaking down the decision-making process into smaller subproblems and solving them recursively. By considering the optimal solution for each subproblem, the algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem.[10]CO4L24Image: transform (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k, c) + Sum (k+1, tr K, c) + from (k,		while satisfying various operational constraints.				
4Image: problem. The problem involves selecting the optimal combination of committed and decommitted generating units to minimize the total cost, considering factors such as fuel costs, start-up costs, and operational constraints. The dynamic programming approach involves breaking down the decision-making process into smaller subproblems and solving them recursively. By considering the optimal solution for each subproblem, the algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem.[10]CO4L24Image: subproblem s		Dynamic Programming for Unit Commitment:			1	
$4 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ $		Dynamic programming is a mathematical optimization technique used to solve the unit commitme	ent			
$4 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \\ 1$		problem. The problem involves selecting the optimal combination of committed and decommitted	ted			
4 The dynamic programming approach involves breaking down the decision-making process into smaller subproblems and solving them recursively. By considering the optimal solution for each subproblem, the algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem. $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2$ $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2$		generating units to minimize the total cost, considering factors such as fuel costs, start-up costs, a	nd		1	
subproblems and solving them recursively. By considering the optimal solution for each subproblem, the algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem. [10] CO4 L2 $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ k \\ $		operational constraints.				
4 algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem. [10] CO4 L2 $ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} $ CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 $ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} $ CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 $ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} $ CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 $ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} $ CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 $ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} $ CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 $ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} $ CO4 L2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2 \\ E L2		The dynamic programming approach involves breaking down the decision-making process into small	ler			
4 $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2$ $\begin{bmatrix} 10 \end{bmatrix} CO4 L2$		subproblems and solving them recursively. By considering the optimal solution for each subproblem, t	he			
Shot $ \begin{array}{c} $	4	algorithm builds up to the optimal solution for the entire unit commitment problem.	ſ	101	CO4	12
	+	Image: State of the state o		10]		L2

A system consists of two plants connected to a transmission line; the load is located at plant -2. The power transfer of 100MW from station 1 to the load results in a loss of 8MW. Find the required generation at each station and the power received by the load when the system is operating with $\lambda = \text{Rs}$ 100MWh. The incremental fuel cost of two plants are $dC_1 / dP_1 = 0.12 P_1 + 65 Rs/MWh$ and $dC_2 / dP_2 = 0.25 P_2 + 0.25 P_2 + 0.25 P_2$ 75Rs/MWh. 1. From the first equation: $0.24P_1+65-\lambda=0$ $0.24P_1 = \lambda - 65$ 2. From the second equation: 3. Substitute $\lambda-65$ for $0.24P_1$ and $\lambda-75$ for $0.5P_2$ into the power balance equation: [10] CO4 L3 5 $\lambda-65+\lambda-75=P_{
m load}+8$ $2\lambda - 140 = P_{ ext{load}} + 8$ $2\lambda = P_{ ext{load}} + 148$ 4. Substitute λ back into the first and second equations to find P_1 and P_2 : $0.24P_1 = \frac{P_{\text{load}}}{2} + 74 - 65$ $0.24P_1=rac{P_{
m load}}{2}+9$ $\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} 0.12\, n_{1} = \frac{2}{2} + 7 \\ P_{1} = \frac{2}{0.24} \left(\frac{P_{\text{load}}}{2} + 9 \right) \\ 0.5P_{2} = \frac{P_{\text{ced}}}{2} + 74 - 75 \\ 0.5P_{2} = \frac{P_{\text{ced}}}{2} - 1 \end{array}$ 5. Substitute the values of P_1, P_2 , and λ back into the power balance equation to find P_{load} : $P_{
m load}=2\lambda-148$ The operating cost of F_1 and F_2 in Rs/h of two generating units each of 100 MW are F_1 = 0.2PG1²+40PG1+120; F2=0.25PG2²+30PG2+150. Find the optimal generation of two units for a total demand of 180MW and the corresponding total cost. Also compute savings in Rs/h in this case as compared to equal sharing between two generators. dE1 = 0.4P1 + 40. dpg, dF2 = 0.5.P2 + 30. for Economic generation total CONT = 10,214.44 RA/hr. 6 [10] CO4 L3 dF1 dF2 dPG1 dPG2 with equal tod slaving -20.4P +40=0.5P2 +30-P1=P2 = 180 9044W PitP2 = 110 F1 = 0.2 (96)2 + 40(90) +20= 5340 Phillip P2 = 180 - P1 F2= 0.25 (10)2 + 30(90) + 150 = 4575 (by. ----0. 471 + 40 = 0.5 (180-P1) +30 P+=81,88MW total Cast = 10015 Reller P2 = 180-08.88 = 91,12MW Southing = 10.215 - 10.214 = 0, 5Y RALLY Fr=0.2(28.88)2 +4.0 (88.88+(120=5855.13Ps/ha. dia. -F3=0-25 (9:12)2+ 30 (9:12)+130= 4959-31 PA/ha.

***** ALL THE BEST *****

Signature of Paper Setter(s)