

Internal Assessment Test 2 – July 2024


```
// Floyd-Warshall algorithm
void floydWarshall(int graph[V][V]) {
   int dist[V][V];
   // Initialize the solution matrix as a copy of the input graph matrix
  for (int i = 0; i < V; i++) {
     for (int j = 0; j < V; j++) {
       dist[i][j] = graph[i][j]; }
   }
   // Update dist[][] for each intermediate vertex k
  for (int k = 0; k < V; k++) {
     for (int i = 0; i < V; i++) {
       for (int j = 0; j < V; j++) {
          // If vertex k is on the shortest path from i to j, update dist[i][j]
          if (dist[i][k] != INF && dist[k][j] != INF && dist[i][k] + dist[k][j] <
dist[i][j]) {
             dist[i][j] = dist[i][k] + dist[k][j]; }
```
}

```
 }
      }
    }
   // Print the shortest distance matrix
   printSolution(dist);
}
int main() {
   /* Example graph with 4 vertices:
     INF means there is no direct edge between two vertices.
   */
  int graph[V][V] = {
      {0, 3, INF, 7},
      {8, 0, 2, INF},
      {5, INF, 0, 1},
     {2, INF, INF, 0} };
   floydWarshall(graph);
```


Largest $= 10$. Swap 7 and 10.

Result: [9, 10, 6, 8, 7, 1, 2, 4, 3, 5].

Now heapify the subtree rooted at index 4 (value 7):

Children: 5 (index 9), no second child.

Largest $= 7$. No swap needed.

5. Heapify subtree rooted at index 0 (value 9):

Children: 10 (index 1), 6 (index 2).

Largest = 10. Swap 9 and 10.

Result: [10, 9, 6, 8, 7, 1, 2, 4, 3, 5].

Now heapify the subtree rooted at index 1 (value 9):

Children: 8 (index 3), 7 (index 4).

Largest $= 9$. No swap needed.

Max Heap:

 $[10, 9, 6, 8, 7, 1, 2, 4, 3, 5]$

Repeatedly extract the maximum element (swap root with the last element) and reduce the heap size.

1. Extract max (10):

Swap 10 with 5 (last element).

Result: [5, 9, 6, 8, 7, 1, 2, 4, 3, 10].

Heapify root (index 0):

Children: 9 (index 1), 6 (index 2).

Largest $= 9$. Swap 5 and 9.

Result: [9, 5, 6, 8, 7, 1, 2, 4, 3, 10].

Now heapify subtree rooted at index 1:

Children: 8 (index 3), 7 (index 4).

Largest $= 8$. Swap 5 and 8.

Result: [9, 8, 6, 5, 7, 1, 2, 4, 3, 10].

Heap after extraction: [9, 8, 6, 5, 7, 1, 2, 4, 3]

2. Extract max (9):

Swap 9 with 3 (last element).

Result: [3, 8, 6, 5, 7, 1, 2, 4, 9, 10].

Heapify root:

Children: 8 (index 1), 6 (index 2).

Largest $= 8$. Swap 3 and 8.

Result: [8, 3, 6, 5, 7, 1, 2, 4, 9, 10].

Now heapify subtree rooted at index 1:

Children: 5 (index 3), 7 (index 4).

Largest $= 7$. Swap 3 and 7.

Result: [8, 7, 6, 5, 3, 1, 2, 4, 9, 10].

Heap after extraction: [8, 7, 6, 5, 3, 1, 2, 4]

3. Extract max (8):

Swap 8 with 4 (last element).

Result: [4, 7, 6, 5, 3, 1, 2, 8, 9, 10].

Heapify root:

Children: 7 (index 1), 6 (index 2).

Largest $= 7$. Swap 4 and 7.

Result: [7, 4, 6, 5, 3, 1, 2, 8, 9, 10].

Now heapify subtree rooted at index 1:

Children: 5 (index 3), 3 (index 4).

Largest = 5. Swap 4 and 5.

Result: [7, 5, 6, 4, 3, 1, 2, 8, 9, 10].

Heap after extraction: [7, 5, 6, 4, 3, 1, 2]

Final Answer:

Y 00101

Huffman Tree: The tree structure is built as explained in Step 1.

Huffman Codes:

 $A = 11$


```
printf("%d", arr[i]);
   }
  printf("\n|n");
}
// Main function
int main() {
  int arr[] = \{15, 5, 24, 8, 1, 3, 16, 10, 20\};int n = sizeof(arr) / sizeof(arr[0]); printf("Original array:\n");
   printArray(arr, n);
  quickSort(\arctan, 0, n - 1); printf("Sorted array:\n");
   printArray(arr, n);
   return 0;
}
Step-by-Step Execution:
Given array: 15, 5, 24, 8, 1, 3, 16, 10, 20
Step 1: First Partition (Pivot = 20)
Initial array: 15, 5, 24, 8, 1, 3, 16, 10, 20
Elements smaller than 20 are moved to the left.
Partition result: 15, 5, 10, 8, 1, 3, 16, 20, 24
Partition index = 7 (pivot 20 placed in the correct position).
Step 2: Recursively Sort Left Subarray (15, 5, 10, 8, 1, 3, 16)
Pivot = 16.
Partition result: 15, 5, 10, 8, 1, 3, 16, 20, 24
Partition index = 6.
Step 3: Recursively Sort Left Subarray (15, 5, 10, 8, 1, 3)
Pivot = 3.
Partition result: 1, 3, 10, 8, 5, 15, 16, 20, 24
Partition index = 1.
Step 4: Recursively Sort Left Subarray (1)
Single element, no sorting needed.
Step 5: Recursively Sort Right Subarray (10, 8, 5, 15)
Pivot = 15.
Partition result: 10, 8, 5, 15, 16, 20, 24
Partition index = 5.
Step 6: Recursively Sort Left Subarray (10, 8, 5)
Pivot = 5.
Partition result: 5, 8, 10, 15, 16, 20, 24
Partition index = 0.
Final Sorted Array:
1, 3, 5, 8, 10, 15, 16, 20, 24
```


he 0/1 Knapsack Problem involves maximizing the total profit of selected items such that their total weight does not exceed a given capacity. We solve it using a dynamic programming approach. Here's the solution step by step: Given: n = 4 (number of items) m = 40 (capacity of the knapsack) P = {40, 42, 25, 12} (profits of items) w = {5, 15, 25, 35} (weights of items) Step 1: Define the DP Table We define a 2D table dp[i][j], where: i represents the items (1 to n). j represents the knapsack capacity (0 to m). dp[i][j] stores the maximum profit we can achieve with the first i items and a knapsack capacity of j. Step 2: Initialization When the capacity $j = 0$, the profit is 0 for all items: $dp[i][0] = 0$. When there are no items $(i = 0)$, the profit is 0 for all capacities: $dp[0][j] = 0$. **Step 3: Transition Formula For each item i and capacity j: If the item's weight w[i-1] > j (doesn't fit in the knapsack), exclude the item:** $dp[i][j] = dp[i-1][j]$ **Otherwise, consider the maximum of including or excluding the item:** $dp[i][j] = max(dp[i-1][j], dp[i-1][j - w[i-1]] + P[i-1])$ **Step 4: Fill the DP Table We'll iteratively compute the values for all i and j using the transition formula. Step 5: Extract the Result The maximum profit will be stored in dp[n][m]. Solution: Step-by-Step Table Filling Initialization** $n = 4, m = 40$ **P = {40, 42, 25, 12}**

w = {5, 15, 25, 35} The dp table starts as: dp[i][j] = 0 for all i and j Fill the Table Now, iterate through items and capacities: 1. Item 1 (weight = 5, profit = 40): For j from 1 to 40: If $j < 5$, $dp[1][j] = dp[0][j] = 0$. **If j** >= 5, dp[1][j] = max(dp[0][j], dp[0][j-5] + 40). **Result after processing Item 1:** $dp[1][j] = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 40, 40, 40, \dots, 40]$ (for $j \ge 5$) **2. Item 2 (weight = 15, profit = 42): For j from 1 to 40:** $If j < 15, dp[2][j] = dp[1][j].$ **If j** >= 15, dp[2][j] = max(dp[1][j], dp[1][j-15] + 42). **Result after processing Item 2:** $dp[2][j] = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 40, 40, ..., 82 \text{ (at } j = 20), ..., 82 \text{ (for } j \ge 15)]$ **3. Item 3 (weight = 25, profit = 25): For j from 1 to 40: If** $j < 25$ **, dp**[3][j] = dp[2][j]. **If j** >= 25, dp[3][j] = max(dp[2][j], dp[2][j-25] + 25). **Result after processing Item 3:** $dp[3][j] = [0, 0, 0, 0, ..., 82 \text{ (at } j = 25), ..., 82 \text{ (for } j \geq 25)]$ **4. Item 4 (weight = 35, profit = 12): For j from 1 to 40: If** $j < 35$ **, dp[4][j] = dp[3][j]. If j** >= 35, dp[4][j] = max(dp[3][j], dp[3][j-35] + 12). **Result after processing Item 4:** $dp[4][j] = [0, 0, ..., 82 \text{ (for } j < 35), ..., 82 \text{ (for } j > 35)]$ **Final Table: dp[4][40] = 82 Step 6: Traceback for Selected Items To find which items are included: 1. Start from dp[4][40] = 82.** 2. Check if $dp[4][40] == dp[3][40]$. If true, item 4 is not included. **3. Repeat this process to identify included items: Item 3 is not included. Item 2 is included (42 profit). Item 1 is included (40 profit). Final Answer:**

Once all neighbors of the current node have been processed, mark the current node as visited. A visited node will not be revisited. Step 4: Move to the next node Select the unvisited node with the smallest tentative distance as the next current node. Repeat steps 2–4 until all nodes have been visited. Step-by-Step Solution Let's assume the graph's nodes and edge weights are as follows (based on your image): Nodes: A, B, C, D, E Edges with weights: $A \rightarrow B: 2, A \rightarrow C: 5$ $B \rightarrow C: 3, B \rightarrow D: 1$ $C \rightarrow D: 2, C \rightarrow E: 3$ $D \rightarrow E: 4$ Iteration TableHeration Table: \mathbf{I} Current Tentative Verifed step Defantes Node $A: D, B: 2, C: 5$
D: ∞ , E: ∞ A . $\mathbf{1}$ A $A:0$, $B:3$, $A \underline{B}$ B、 $C.5. D.3. E.6$ 廴 $A:0, B:0.$ q_{1} q_{1} q_{1} $C: 5. D:3, E:7$ ろ \mathcal{D} $A:0,B:3$ d_{1} g_{1} A $C:5, D:3$ Y E :6 $A:0, B:2$ A,B,D E $\overline{5}$ $C:5,5:3$ C, E E ; 6

Explanation of Each Step 1. Initialization (Step 1): Start at A (distance $= 0$). Distance to $B = 2 (A \rightarrow B)$. Distance to $C = 5$ (A \rightarrow C). Other nodes remain at infinity. 2. Processing B (Step 2): From B: Distance to $C = 2 + 3 = 5$ (no change, already 5). Distance to $D = 2 + 1 = 3$ (update). 3. Processing D (Step 3): From D: Distance to $E = 3 + 4 = 7$ (update). 4. Processing C (Step 4): From C: Distance to $E = 5 + 3 = 6$ (update, smaller than 7). 5. Processing E (Step 5): E is already at the smallest distance (6), no further updates. shortest pistances: $Finda$ -- shipstest bistance Node Final \circ Δ λ β ϵ $\sqrt{ }$ \mathfrak{B} \mathcal{L} \oint E Shortest Distances