

Internal Assessment Test 1- March 2025

	Internal Asse	essment Test 1		.3	1	1		
Sub:	Machine learning-1		Sub Code:	BCS602	Branch	CSE-	AIML	
Date:	<b>25/03/2025</b> Duration: 90min's Max	Marks: 50	Sem/Sec:	VI CSE-A	AIML		OB	Е
	SCHEME AND SO	<b>OLUTIONS</b>				MARKS	CO	RBT
1a)	Differentiate between the Supervised and Ur taking suitable examples.	nsupervised m	achine learn	ing techniq	ues by	5	CO1	L2
	Scheme: -							
	Difference with example -2.5 Marks each							
	Solution: -							
	Supervised	Unsupervise	ed					
	Labelled data	Unlabeled d	ata					
	Used for classification and regression	Used for clu	stering					
	Assigns labels or categories	Used for gro	ouping					
	Example+Algorithms	Example+A	lgorithms					
1.(b)	What is an outlier? Explain the technique to determine an outlier from the following-,3,6,8,12,14,18,20,24,30,50.  Cheme: -  Outlier-1Mark Interquartile Range and formula for computing outlier-2Marks Problem solving-2Marks						CO1	L3
	Solution: -	1: CC 4 - C	ath an datan	into in o do	40004			
	Outlier is a datapoint which is significantly of 1. Formulas for Q1, Q3, and IQR:	itaset.						
	Q1 (First Quartile): This is the median of the lower half of the data. It represents the 25th percentile.							
	<ul> <li>Q3 (Third Quartile): This is the median of the upper half of the data. It represents the 75th percentile.</li> </ul>							
	IQR (Interquartile Range): This is the difference between the third quartile and the first quartile.							
	IQR =	=Q3-Q1						
	2. Lower and Upper Bound Formulas:							
	Once you've calculated the IQR, you can use the following formulas to find the lower and upper bounds to detect potential outliers:							
	Lower Bound: Anything below this is considere							
	Lower Bound	=Q1-1.5 imes	IQR					
	Upper Bound: Anything above this is considere	d a potential out	lier.					
	Upper Bound Problem Solution: There is no outliers(LB=-	-	IQR					

	Scheme and Solution:			
	Categorical data types-Nominal and Ordinal Type with example 2.5Marks			
	Numerical data types-Interval and Ratio data with example 2.5Marks			
2(b)	Explain the measures of skewness and kurtosis.	5	CO1	L2
	Scheme :-			
	Skewness, its types-2.5Marks			
	Kurtosis-2.5Marks			
	Solution :-			
	<b>Skewness</b> -shows the direction and degree of symmetry.			
	Positive and negative skewness.			
	Coefficient of skewness			
	$\text{Skewness} = \frac{3(\bar{x} - \text{Median})}{s}$			
	Kurtosis-			
	It indicates the peaks of data			
	A measure of whether the data is heavily tailed or light tailed w.r.t normal distribution			
	High or low kurtosis			
3(a)	Explain the role and different types of probability distributions in machine learning.  Scheme:  Normal Distribution-2Marks  Rectangular Distribution-1Mark  Exponential Distribution -1Mark  Binomial Distribution -2Marks  Poisson Distribution -1Mark  Bernoulli Distribution -1Mark-1Mark	8	CO2	L2
	Solution: Write about each distribution with the necessary formulas.			
3(b)	What is the curse of dimensionality?  Scheme –  Definition 2Marks	2	CO2	L1
	Solution:- As the dimensionality increases the model complexity also increases. So it may effect the expected prediction and accuracy			
4a)	Apply SVD on the following matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 7 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$	5	CO2	L3

Scheme:-			
Compute the three matrices for SVD Decomposition -4Marks			
Eigen Value computation-1Mark			
Solution:-			
To solve this using SVD, we need to decompose the matrix into:			
$A = U \Sigma V^T$			
Where:			
ullet $U$ is an orthogonal matrix containing the left singular vectors.			
ullet is a diagonal matrix with the singular values on the diagonal.			
$ullet$ $V^T$ is an orthogonal matrix containing the right singular vectors.			
2. Compute $A^TA$ :			
$A^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 7 \\ 1 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$			
$A^TA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 7 \\ 1 & 7 \end{pmatrix}  imes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 7 & 7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 50 & 50 \\ 50 & 50 \end{pmatrix}$			
3. Eigenvalues of $A^TA$ :			
The eigenvalues of $A^{T}A$ are the solutions to the characteristic equation:			
$\det(A^TA-\lambda I)=0$			
This gives the eigenvalues $\lambda_1=100$ and $\lambda_2=0$ . The singular values are the square roots of the eigenvalues:			
$\sigma_1=10, \sigma_2=0$			
$U = \begin{pmatrix} 0.14 & -0.99 \\ 0.99 & 0.14 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$			
$m{V}^T = egin{pmatrix} 0.70 & -0.70 \ 0.70 & 0.70 \end{pmatrix}$			
Explain the PCA algorithm with the necessary steps.	5	CO2	L2
Scheme:-			
Each step carries 1 mark.			
Solution:-			
1. The mean is subtracted from the dataset(x-m). This is to transform the dataset with zero mean.			
2. The covariance of dataset is obtained C.			

										1 1
	3.Eigen val	lues and Ei	gen vecto	rs of the c	covariance	matrix is	calculated.			
	4.The eiger	n values an	d sorted a	nd top eig	gen vectors	s are select	ted as feature vect	or.		
	Obtain the	transpose o	of feature	vector,A.						
	5.Obtain th	ne PCA trai	nsform y=	A*(x-m)						
5a)	Explain and	d apply the	steps of I	Find-S alg	orithm on	the follow	ing dataset,	5	CO2	L3
	Sky	Air Temp	Humidity	Wind	Water	Class (Buy Car)				
	Sunny	Hot	High	Weak	Warm	No				
	Sunny	Hot	High	Strong	Warm	No				
	Overcast	Hot	High	Weak	Warm	Yes				
	Rainy	Mild	High	Weak	Cool	Yes				
	Rainy	Cool	Normal	Weak	Cool	Yes				
	Scheme: Steps-4Ma Final Solut		ζ							
5b)	Initalize the hypothesis Generalize using the first positive example For other positive instances, Check the attribute value if its same like in hypothesis retain the value otherwise change the hypothesis value to? Ignore all negative instances  The final solution expected is ?? Weak? You have a test dataset containing 200 emails. The model classified 80 emails as spam in that 60 emails are correctly predicted as spam. The remaining 120 emails were classified as non spam in that 100 mails the model correctly predicted them as not spam. Calculate the accuracy and F1 Score of the model.						as 5	CO2	L3	
	Scheme:- Confusion Matrix=1Mark Accuracy-2Marks F1Score -2Marks									
	Solution: True Positives (TP): The number of emails correctly predicted as spam (60). False Positives (FP): The number of emails incorrectly predicted as spam, but they are actually not spam (80 - 60 = 20). True Negatives (TN): The number of emails correctly predicted as non-spam (100). False Negatives (FN): The number of emails incorrectly predicted as non-spam, but they are actually spam (120 - 100 = 20).						am			
	Accuracy = $\frac{\mathrm{TP} + \mathrm{TN}}{\mathrm{Total\ emails}}$ $\mathrm{Accuracy} = \frac{60 + 100}{200} = \frac{160}{200} = 0.80$									

• P	r <b>ecision</b> is the proportion	n of correctly p	oredicted spam	emails out o	of all emails predicted as spam:			
	Pre	$ecision = \frac{1}{TI}$	$\frac{\text{TP}}{\text{P} + \text{FP}} = \frac{1}{60}$	$\frac{60}{0+20} = \frac{6}{8}$	$\frac{0}{0} = 0.75$			
• R	ecall is the proportion of	f correctly pred	dicted spam en	nails out of a	ll actual spam emails:			
	_	1	ГР (	60				
	R	$decall = \frac{1}{TP}$	$\frac{\Gamma P}{+ FN} = \frac{60}{60}$	$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{80}$	=0.75			
Now,	the <b>F1 score</b> is calculated	l as:						
		F1 Score =	$= 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision}}{\text{Precision}}$	$\frac{\text{on} \times \text{Recal}}{\text{on} + \text{Recal}}$	<u>l</u>			
	F4.6							
	F1 Sco	ore = $2 \times \frac{1}{0}$ .	$\frac{75 \times 0.75}{75 + 0.75} =$	$2 \times {1.5}$	-= 0.75			
So, the	F1 score of the model i	s <b>0.75</b> .	lacksquare					
(a) A1	IZ NINI 1 VV-1-1-	4 - 1 IZ NINI	41 44	·	1559)	10	CO3	L3
	K-NN and Weigh ring dataset (take k		on the test	instance(2	1.5,5,?) using the	10	CO3	L3
		I	l		<del>-i</del>			
		Feature 1 (x1)	Feature 2 (x2)	Class				
		2	3	Α				
		3	3.5	A				
		3.5 6	5.5	A B				
		7	6	В				
		8	7	В				
Schen	ne:							
	-4Marks ated KNN -6 Mark	S						
<b>Soluti</b> Find t	<b>on:</b> he Euclidean dista	nce from da	atapoints ar	nd test ins	tance			
	stances in ascending (3.5, 4) → Distance		Class: A					
	$(6, 5.5) \rightarrow \text{Distance}$							
	$(3, 3.5) \rightarrow \text{Distance}$							
4.	$(7, 6) \rightarrow \text{Distance}$	= 2.69 → C	lass: B					
5.	$(2,3) \rightarrow \text{Distance}$	= 3.2 → Cla	ass: A					
6.	$(8,7) \rightarrow \text{Distance}$	$=4.03 \rightarrow C$	class: B					
	1							
	NN, the class prediction $= 3$ , the nearest neighbors $= (3.5, 4) \rightarrow \text{Class}$ :	hbors are:	d on the maj	jority class	of the K nearest neighbors.			

2.  $(6, 5.5) \rightarrow \text{Class: B}$ 

3.  $(3, 3.5) \rightarrow \text{Class: A}$ 

The majority class among these 3 nearest neighbors is **A** (2 out of 3 neighbors are class A).

Thus, the predicted class for the test instance (4.5,5)(4.5,5)(4.5,5) using **K-NN** is **A**.

## Weighted KNN

Find the Euclidean distance of the data points and test instance

Select the least three distances and find inverse distance

Instance	Distance	Inverse Distance	class
1	2.121	0.471	A
2	1.414	0.707	A
3	1.58	0.633	В

Sum of Inverse=1.811

Instance	Distanc Inverse Distance		Weighted Sum	class
	e			
1	2.121	0.471	.2603	A
2	1.414	0.707	.3905	A
3	1.58	0.633	.3490	В

Sum of Weighted class A=.6508

Sum of weighted class B=.3490

As per Weighted KNN label is Class A

Faculty Signature CCI Signature HOD Signature